

CVSD Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes

CVSD Conference Room, Suite 150A (Lower Level) September 8, 2016, 9:00am-9:30am

Committee Members via Teleconference: Steve Bellshaw, Kris Billhardt, Alison Cleveland, Shirley Didier, Debra Dority, Kelsey Keswani, Tim Moore, Justin Nelson, Barb Palicki, Lauri Stewart, Chapone Sinlapasai, Michele Roland-Schwartz, Vanessa Timmons

CVSD DOJ Staff Present: Marjorie Doran, Jeanette Ewald, Diana Fleming, Mackenzie Gray, Alisha Goodwin, Mike Maryanov, Cathy Relang

Committee Members Absent: Desiree Coyote, Jenna Harper, Sybil Hebb, Amy Holmes Hehn, Kim Larson, Rebecca Orf, Tawna Sanchez, Robin Selig

Committee Members Recused: Erin Ellis, Kathryn Kelley, Kim Larson, Merle Weiner, Lynne Whiteman, Letetia Wilson

Welcome/Overview of Applicants

Jeanette welcomes all the members on the phone and introduces CVSD staff in the room. With thirteen AC members present, quorum has been reached. Today's meeting will be used to decide how to fund the 2016 Victim of Crime Act (VOCA) Competitive applicants who have previously been scored and ranked through a series of review teams. While there is low representation in South East counties, there are a few statewide applicants.

CVSD would like to fund every applicant that scored over eighty points and fund at least one application from each category and priority category. There are a large number of applicants who did not receive scores above seventy five and therefore were not eligible for ranking. In total, sixty applications were submitted, and eighteen did not rank.

Funding Options and Decisions

As emailed out to the AC members earlier in the week, there are three options/strategies recommended by CVSD. Approach one is to fund all ranking applicants (scores of seventy-five or above). Using this approach would mean CVSD would spend over the ten million set aside for the application. CVSD does not have concerns about funding above the ten million. There are several applicants who will have disallowed costs, and there will be de-obligated money from the 2014 VOCA Competitive.



Approach two would be to cap spending at the ten million and eliminate the lowest score across all applications. Two applicants scored 75 (the lowest score you can receive and still rank) and the AC can make a recommendation to the Attorney General to not fund either applicant, or fully or partially fund one (which would bring the total to below ten million dollars).

Approach three is to fund everyone with a score of 80 and above, and then the AC would discuss every applicant that scored between 75-79, adding in applicants until the ten million is reached. The AC would select applications for funding based within each category as opposed to across all categories.

Vote on funding strategy

A vote is taken over the phone with Cathy Relang noting the votes as given. Option one is approved with unanimous consent amongst AC members.

Meeting adjourned at 9:30a.m.