1 3 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON 5 FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH 1307-09347 6 STATE OF OREGON, ex rel. ELLEN F. Case No. ROSENBLUM, Attorney General for the State of Oregon, and PATRICK M. ALLEN, Director **COMPLAINT** of the Department of Consumer and Business 8 Services. ORS 20.140 - State fees deferred at filing 9 Plaintiff. Unlawful Trade Practices Act, ORS 646.605 to 10 646.656 .11 SWIFT ROCK FINANCIAL, INC., d/b/a World Debt Management Service Providers Act, ORS Law Direct, World Law Group, World Law 697.602 to 697.842 12 Plan, World Law Debt Settlement, World Law Debt Services, World Law Options, and World Financial Abuse, ORS 124.125 13 Law Debt Assistance, a Texas corporation; and NOT SUBJECT TO MANDATORY 14 **ARBITRATION** ORION PROCESSING, LLC, d/b/a World Law Processing and WLD Credit Repair, a Texas 15 limited liability company, 16 Defendants. Plaintiff, STATE OF OREGON ("Plaintiff"), for its Complaint alleges as follows: 17 18 **PARTIES** 19 1. 20 ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM is the Attorney General for the State of Oregon and, acting in her official capacity, brings this action pursuant to ORS 646.632 to enjoin the unlawful trade 21 practices alleged below, and pursuant to ORS 124.125 to remedy the acts of financial abuse 22 23 alleged below. 24 · /// 25 /// 26 Page 1 -COMPLAINT JFB/ifb/4293327-v1

1	2.			
2	PATRICK M. ALLEN is the Director of the Department of Consumer and Business			
3	Services and, acting in his official capacity, brings this action, pursuant to ORS 697.762, to			
4	enjoin the violations of ORS 697.602 to 697.842 alleged below.			
5	3.			
6	Defendant SWIFT ROCK FINANCIAL, INC. ("SWIFT ROCK") is a Texas corporation			
7	that purports to be a law firm in the business of providing "quality debt relief options, backed by			
8	attorneys" to consumers. SWIFT ROCK conducts business under the name "World Law Debt."			
9.	4.			
10	Defendant SWIFT ROCK also offers its services under other assumed business names,			
11	including World Law Direct, World Law Group, World Law Plan, World Law Debt Settlement,			
12	World Law Debt Services, World Law Options, and most recently World Law Debt Assistance.			
13	SWIFT ROCK's principal place of business is in Austin, Texas.			
14	5.			
15	Defendant ORION PROCESSING, LLC ("ORION") is a Texas limited-liability			
16	company. ORION conducts business under the name "World Law Processing" and "WLD			
17	Credit Repair." ORION's principal place of business is in Austin, Texas.			
18	6.			
19	For purposes of this Complaint, any references to the acts and practices of Defendants			
20	SWIFT ROCK and ORION shall mean that such acts and practices are by and through the acts or			
21	said corporate entities' officers, owners, directors, employees, agents, or independent contractors.			
22	7.			
23	Defendants have acted in concert to engage in the acts and practices described throughout			
24	this Complaint and each Defendant is jointly and severally liable for the acts and practices			
25	described below.			
26				
Page	2 - COMPLAINT JFB/jfb/4293327-v1			

1	JURISDICTION AND VENUE	
2	8.	
3	The Circuit Court of the State of Oregon has personal jurisdiction over Defendants	
4	pursuant to ORCP 4 A(4). Defendants engage in substantial business activities within Oregon.	
5	9.	
6	The Circuit Court of the State of Oregon has personal jurisdiction over Defendants	
7	pursuant to ORCP 4 L. Defendants purposefully direct their activities to Oregon residents, this	
8	litigation arises out of those contacts, and the exercise of jurisdiction comports with notions of	
9	fair play and substantial justice.	
10	10.	
11	Defendants were given notice required by ORS 646.632(2) that they had allegedly	
12	violated the UTPA and the relief to be sought. Defendants failed to deliver an Assurance of	
13	Voluntary Compliance (AVC) that complies with the requirements contained in ORS 646.632.	
14	11.	
15	Venue is appropriate in Multnomah County pursuant to ORS 14.080(1) and ORS	
16	646.605(1)(c) and 646.632(1) because a cause of action occurred when Defendants entered into	
17	agreements with a resident of Multnomah County, as alleged below, in violation of ORS 697.612	
18	and in violation of the UTPA.	
19	12.	
20	Pursuant to ORS 646.632 and ORS 697.762, Plaintiff is entitled to a judgment providing	
21	injunctive relief against Defendants.	
22	13.	
23	Pursuant to ORS 697.762, Plaintiff is entitled to the appointment of a receiver or	
24	conservator for Defendants and Defendants' assets.	
25		
26		
Page	3 - COMPLAINT JFB/jfb/4293327-v1	

1	14.			
2	Pursuant to ORS 646.636, Plaintiff is entitled to a judgment against Defendants that			
3	orders Defendants to restore to Oregon residents any monies or property of which the residents			
4	were deprived by means of the unlawful practices alleged below.			
5	15.			
6	Pursuant to ORS 646.642, Plaintiff is entitled to a judgment for civil penalties of up to			
7	\$25,000 against Defendants for each of Defendants' willful violations of the UTPA.			
8	16.			
9	Pursuant to ORS 124.125, Plaintiff is entitled to a judgment for penalties of up to \$25,000			
10	against Defendants for each of Defendants' acts of financial abuse.			
11	BACKGROUND			
12	17.			
13	Debt settlement is a business that promotes itself as an alternative to bankruptcy. In most			
14	debt settlement programs, consumers are instructed to stop making payments to their creditors			
15	and begin saving money for the purpose of settling the debts for amounts less than the consumer			
16	owes. In other programs, although consumers are not instructed to stop paying their creditors,			
17	the program imposes savings requirements that are so significant that consumers are unable to			
18	save sufficient funds while paying their creditors simultaneously.			
19 .	18.			
20	Debt settlement providers usually collect their fees from the accumulated settlement			
21	funds. When a consumer has saved a sufficient amount, the provider then begins negotiations			
22	with the consumer's creditors.			
23	19.			
24	Consumers who stop paying their creditors can face increased account balances as a			
25	result of interest rate increases and late fees, as well as lawsuits for breach of contract and wage			
26				
Page	24 - COMPLAINT JFB/jfb/4293327-v1			

1	garnishment. Consumers often complain that they pay significant amounts in fees without			
2	receiving the promised results.			
3	20.			
4	These complaints prompted state and federal regulators to begin taking administrative			
5	and legal action against various debt settlement companies, alleging that these companies were			
6	engaged in unfair and deceptive actions towards consumers. Legislators also began enacting			
7	new laws in an effort to better regulate debt settlement providers.			
8 .	21.			
9	On June 26, 2009, the Governor approved House Bill 2191 (2009), which limited the			
10	amounts and types of fees that debt settlement providers can charge consumers; limited when			
11	those fees could be charged; and required persons who provide those services in Oregon to			
12	register with the Director of the Department of Consumer and Business Services (DCBS) on or			
13	after January 1, 2010. Now codified at ORS 697.602 to 697.842, the bill contained an			
14	emergency clause, and was effective upon the Governor's approval. Or Laws 2009, ch 604, § 3			
15	ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS			
16	22.			
17	Since June 26, 2009, Defendants have offered "debt solutions" and "structured debt			
18	settlements" to financially distressed consumers in Oregon. Defendants solicit consumers usin			
19	a variety of means, including television; direct mailings; and, websites such as			
20	www.worldlawdebtsettlement.com, www.worldlawplan.com, www.worldlawdebt.com,			
21	www.worldlawdirect.com, and www.worldlawdebtassistance.com. Defendants also maintain a			
22	social media presence on YouTube, Facebook, and Twitter.			
23	23.			
24	Defendants use the aforementioned websites and social media outlets to present			
25	themselves to consumers as a law firm that specializes in negotiating with consumers' creditors			
26	to settle credit card, student loan, and medical debt.			
Page	5 - COMPLAINT JFB/jfb/4293327-v1			

JFB/ifb/4293327-v1

1	"reaching out" because the consumer's credit report indicates that the consumer has over \$30,000
2	in total credit card debt; that the consumer is late on making payments; and that they have
3	determined, after a review of the consumer's situation, that the consumer "can resolve [the]
4	distressed debt for \$12,852." The solicitation also represents that Defendants will help the
5	consumer "avoid any pending legal action from [the consumer's] creditors" and that "Additional
6	benefits include an Immediate Reduction of Payments by up to 60%, A Reduction of your Total
7	Debt by 68% or More and Complete Elimination of your Credit Card Debt in 24 months Or
8	Less."
9	29.
0	Between June 26, 2009 and April 16, 2013, Defendants entered into at least 425
1	agreements for debt settlement services with Oregon residents. At least one of the persons with
12	whom Defendants entered into an agreement was 65 years of age at the time the agreement was
13	made. At least one of the consumers was present in Multnomah County, Oregon when the
14	consumer entered into the agreement with Defendants.
15.	30.
16	Consumers who express an interest in Defendants' services are electronically provided
17	with a copy of Defendants' "Client Service Agreement" or "Customer Service Agreement" that
18	the consumer can electronically sign and return to Defendants. Interested consumers are also
19	told that Defendants' "program is designed to help you achieve settlements that you can afford in
20	a timeframe that will work within your budget."
21	31.
22	Defendants' contracts specify that Defendants will provide "debt negotiations and
23	settlement services on [the client's] behalf." Defendants' contracts further specify that
24	Defendants' "processing division"sometimes referred to as "World Law Plan" or "World Law
25	Processing"will perform "certain non-legal processing duties," including "accounting, clerical
26	work, and negotiating settlements."

Page 7 - COMPLAINT JFB/jfb/4293327-v1 1 32.

When a consumer enters Defendants' debt settlement program, Defendants require the 2 consumer to provide the consumer's bank account information and to authorize monthly 3 electronic debits from the consumer's bank account by means of an automatic bank draft. The 4 amount of the consumer's draft is determined by the amount of debt that the consumer enrolls in 5 Defendants' debt settlement program. Oregon consumers' monthly payments into Defendant's 6 debt settlement program ranged from approximately \$80 to \$1,570. 7 33. 8 Clients' monthly payments are withdrawn from clients' bank accounts by Global Client 9 Solutions, LLC (hereinafter "Global"), which places the funds debited from clients' personal 10 bank accounts into a "special purpose account" or "dedicated account," in the client's name, at a 11 third-party bank. If, and when, Defendants reach settlements with a client's creditors, settlement 12 payments are disbursed from the consumer's savings account. 13 34. 14 Defendants collect a myriad of fees from clients, including (1) a "onetime Attorney Initial 15 16 Fee" of \$199.00; (2) a "monthly attorney evaluation fee" of \$84.95; (3) a "Bundled Legal Services Fee"; and an unspecified (4) debt settlement legal fee" that is ostensibly "earned on a 17 prorated basis of the enrolled debt." Clients are also required to pay a \$9.45 monthly 18 "maintenance fee" to Global. 19 35. 20 Defendants' contracts with clients authorize Defendants to collect their fees from the 21 amounts that Global debits from clients' bank accounts before any settlements are negotiated or 22 obtained. Thus, when Global debits clients' personal bank accounts each month, it immediately 23 disburses Defendants' fees to Defendants. 24 25 ///

Page 8 - COMPLAINT JFB/ifb/4293327-v1

111

26

1	36.			
2	Defendants are not, and have never been, registered with the Director of DCBS as debt			
3	management service providers.			
4	37.			
5	At www.worldlawdebtassistance.com, Defendants represent that clients will be "assigned			
6	a Team of Attorneys, including a State and Federal attorney. Your Team of Attorneys will			
7	provide legal advice and protection. Once you have completed your first program payment, you			
8	will receive your Attorney Consultation Call from one of our leading attorneys and you will be			
· 9	provided with our bundled legal services."			
10	38.			
11	Defendants' contracts also state that clients will be "assigned an attorney who is in good			
12	standing with the State Bar in the state in which the CLIENT is located and who will provide			
13	CLIENT with legal advice throughout CLIENT's representation."			
14	39.			
15	Defendants instruct clients who are sued by their creditors to send copies of all pleadings			
16	that they receive to Defendants. Upon receiving those documents, Defendants prepare and			
17	provide the clients with responsive pleadings, and instruct the clients to file the documents in			
18	Oregon courts or to send the documents to opposing counsel.			
19	40.			
20	Defendants are not active members of the Oregon State Bar. Defendants' corporate			
21	officers are not active members of the Oregon State Bar. Defendants do not employ an active			
22	member of the Oregon State Bar. Although Defendants represented to debt collection attorneys			
23	that they are associated with at least two members of the Oregon State Bar, those members deny			
24	ever having had a relationship with Defendants.			
25				
26				
Page 9 - COMPLAINT JFB/jfb/4293327-v1				

41.

2 .	On or about May 30, 2012, the Director of DCBS served a proposed order on Defendants			
3	(then known to the Director as "World Law Group dba World Law Plan") advising them they			
4	were required to registered as debt management service providers, and that he intended to issue			
5	an order requiring them to cease all debt management service provider activity in Oregon and			
6	imposing a civil penalty of \$70,000 against Defendants for alleged violations of ORS 697.602 to			
7	697.842. On or about September 26, 2012, the Director of DCBS issued a final order against			
8	Defendants that was materially identical to the May 30, 2012 proposed order.			
9	42.			
10	Since the final order was issued, Defendants have continued to solicit Oregon residents;			
11	have entered into at least 100 new agreements with Oregon residents, with the most recent			
12	agreement known to Plaintiff having been made on or about April 16, 2013; have continued to			
13	negotiate on behalf of Oregon residents to lower their credit card debt; and, have continued to			
14	collect tens of thousands of dollars in fees from Oregon residents. Defendants have also failed to			
15	pay the \$70,000 civil penalty assessed by the Director of DCBS.			
16	43.			
17	According to records created by Global, from June 26, 2009 to April 16, 2013, 306			
18	Oregon residents have paid approximately \$1,588,698 into Defendants' debt settlement program.			
19	Of that amount, approximately \$275,211 in settlement payments has been made to creditors.			
20	Defendants have collected approximately \$960,226 in various fees. Clients who have closed			
21	their accounts have received refunds totaling approximately \$67,786.			
22	44.			
23	The conduct alleged in the preceding paragraphs was willful, in that Defendants knew or			
24	should have known that their conduct violated the UTPA. ORS 646.605(10).			
25				
26				

1	CLAIMS FOR RELIEF			
2	DEBT MANAGEMENT SERVICE PROVIDERS ACT			
3	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
4	ORS 697.762			
5	45.			
6	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates each and every allegation contained in the preceding			
7	paragraphs as though set forth herein.			
8	Count 1			
9	46.			
10	Defendants violated ORS 697.612(1) when, without being registered with the Director of			
11	DCBS, they entered into contracts with Oregon residents in which they agreed to negotiate on			
12	the residents' behalf to settle the Oregon residents' debts.			
13	Count 2			
14	47.			
15	Defendants violated ORS 697.692(1)(a) when they charged consumers an initial fee that			
16	exceeded \$50.			
17	Count 3			
18	48.			
19	Defendants violated ORS 697.692(1)(d) when they charged consumers fees that exceeded			
20	\$65 per month.			
21	UNLAWFUL TRADE PRACTICES ACT			
22	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF			
23	ORS 646.608(1)(kkk)			
24	49.			
25	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates each and every allegation contained in the preceding			
26	paragraphs as though set forth herein.			
Page	e 11 - COMPLAINT JFB/jfb/4293327-v1			

1	Count 4			
2	50.			
3	Defendants violated ORS 646.608(1)(kkk) when they violated ORS 697.612(1).			
4	51.			
5	Each and every contract that Defendants entered into with an Oregon resident in which			
6	they agreed to negotiate on the resident's behalf to settle the resident's debts without being			
7	registered with the Director of DCBS instance is a separate and distinct violation of ORS			
8 .	697.612(1) and ORS 646.608(1)(kkk).			
9	Count 5			
10	52.			
11	Defendants violated ORS 646.608(1)(kkk) when they violated ORS 697.692(1)(a) by			
12	charging consumers an initial fee that exceeded \$50.			
13	53.			
14	Each and every instance where Defendants violated ORS 697.692(1)(a) is a separate and			
15	distinct violation of ORS 646.608(1)(kkk).			
16	Count 6			
17	54.			
18	Defendants violated ORS 646.608(1)(kkk) when they violated ORS 697.692(1)(d) by			
19	charging consumers an initial fee that exceeded \$65.			
20	55.			
21	Each and every instance where Defendants violated ORS 697.692(1)(d) is a separate and			
22 .	distinct violation of ORS 646.608(1)(kkk).			
23				
24				
25				
26				
Page	212 - COMPLAINT JFB/jfb/4293327-v1			

1	ORS 646.608(1)(e)
2	Count 7
3	56.
4	ORS 646.608(1)(e) forbids a person to represent that the person has a sponsorship,
5	approval, status, qualification, affiliation, or connection that the person does not have.
6	57.
7	Defendants violated ORS 646.608(1)(e) when, although not active members of the
8	Oregon State Bar, they advertised themselves as attorneys in Oregon.
9	58.
0	Each and every instance where Defendants advertised themselves as attorneys in Oregon
.1	without being active members of the Oregon State Bar is a separate and distinct violation of ORS
2	646.608(1)(e).
13	Count 8
14	59.
5	Defendants violated ORS 646.608(1)(e) when, although not active members of the
6	Oregon State Bar they represented themselves as attorneys by, among other things, provided
17	Oregon residents with responsive pleadings to creditor consumers with responsive pleadings
8	with instructions to file the documents in Oregon courts or to send the documents to opposing
19	counsel.
20	60.
21	Each and every instance where Defendants, although not active members of the Oregon
22	State Bar, represented themselves as attorneys by providing Oregon residents with responsive
23	pleadings to creditor consumers with responsive pleadings with instructions to file the document
24	in Oregon courts or to send the documents to opposing counsel is a separate and distinct
25	violation of ORS 646.608(1)(e).
26	
?age	e 13 - COMPLAINT JFB/jfb/4293327-v1

1	FINANCIAL ABUSE	
2	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
3	ORS 124.110	
4	61.	
5	Plaintiff realleges and incorporates each and every allegation contained in the preceding	
6	paragraphs as though set forth herein.	
7	62.	
8	ORS 124.110 authorizes an action for financial abuse when a person wrongfully takes or	
9	appropriates money or property of a vulnerable person.	
10	Count 8	
11	63.	
12	Each time that Defendants, while not registered with the Director of DCBS as a debt	
13	management service provider, collected a fee from an Oregon consumer who was 65 years age or	
14	older, Defendants engaged in an act of financial abuse of a vulnerable person.	
15	Count 9	
16	64.	
17	Each time that Defendants collected a fee that was not permitted by ORS 697.692(1)	
18	from a client who was 65 years of age or older, Defendants engaged in an act of financial abuse	
19	of a vulnerable person.	
20	Count 10	
21	65.	
22	Each time that Defendants collected an initial fee that exceeded the limits prescribed by	
23	ORS 697.692(1)(a) from a client who was 65 years of age or older, Defendants engaged in an act	
24	of financial abuse of a vulnerable person.	
25		
26		
age	214 - COMPLAINT	

JFB/jfb/4293327-v1

1		Count 11
2		66.
3	Each t	time that Defendants collected a fee that exceeded the limits prescribed by ORS
4	697.692(1)(d)	from a client who was 65 years of age or older, Defendants engaged in an act of
5	financial abus	se of a vulnerable person.
6		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
7		67.
8	WHE	REFORE, Plaintiff, prays for relief as follows:
9	1.	For a judgment against Defendants for civil penalties of up to \$25,000 for each
0		willful, separate and distinct violation of the Unlawful Trade Practices Act, ORS
1		646.605 to 646.656;
12	2.	For a judgment against Defendants for penalties of up to \$25,000 for each
13		instance of financial abuse against that Defendants engaged in against a
14		vulnerable person, pursuant to ORS 124.125;
15	3.	For a judgment against Defendants for Plaintiff's investigative costs, pursuant to
16		ORS 124.125;
17	4.	For a judgment against Defendants for reasonable attorney fees, pursuant to ORS
18		124.125, ORS 646.632(8), and ORS 697.762(1);
19	5.	For a judgment requiring Defendants to refund to each and every Oregon
20		customer the full fees paid to Defendants;
21	· 6.	For a judgment that requires Defendants to pay, to each vulnerable person against
22		whom it engaged in acts of financial abuse, \$500 or three times the total of all
23		economic damages that resulted to the person from Defendants' acts, whichever is
24		greater, pursuant to ORS 124.100;
25		
26		

1	7.	For a judgment and an order that declares that each and every contract that
2		Defendants have entered into with an Oregon resident is void and unenforceable
3		as contrary to public policy, pursuant to ORS 697.652(4);
4	.8.	For a judgment and an order that permanently enjoins Defendants from
5		representing that they are licensed or authorized to practice law in this state, until
6 .		such time as the Defendants are active members of the Oregon State Bar, employ
7		an active member of the Oregon State Bar, or Defendants are in compliance with
8		rules issued by the Oregon Supreme Court pursuant to ORS 9.241;
9	9.	For a judgment and an order that permanently enjoins Defendants from engaging
10		in the following activities in this state in exchange for money or other valuable
11		consideration or with the expectation of receiving money or other valuable
12		consideration unless Defendants have registered with the Director of DCBS:
13		a. Providing or performing, or representing that Defendants will provide or
14 .		perform, a debt management service;
15		b. Providing or performing, or representing that Defendants will provide or
16		perform, a debt management service to, or on behalf of, a resident of this
17		state;
18		c. Soliciting or receiving an application for a debt management service from
19		a resident of this state;
20.		d. Forwarding or providing a completed application from a resident of this
21		state for a debt management service to a debt management service
22		provider;
23		e. Referring a resident of this state to a debt management service provider;
24		f. Providing the name, address or other information that identifies a resident
25		of this state to a debt management service provider for the purpose of
26		arranging the provision of a debt management service; and,

1			g. Providing advice, assistance, instruction or instructional material
2			concerning a debt management service to a resident of this state.
3		10.	For a judgment and order that permanently enjoins Defendants from charging a
4	٠		consumer any fee of a type, or in an amount, not authorized by ORS 697.692,
5			when Defendants act a debt management service provider in this state;
6		11.	For a judgment that requires Defendants to pay \$70,000 to the Director of DCBS;
7		12.	For a judgment that declares that all injunctions herein shall apply to Defendants;
8			to any present or future corporation or other organization or entity whose acts,
9		·	practices or policies are directed, formulated or controlled by Defendants or in
10			which either Defendant is a principal or owns any interest; and, to Defendants'
11			successors and assigns, agents, representatives and employees, directly or through
12			any affiliate, corporation, subsidiary, division or other related entity; and
13		13.	For a judgment granting any other and further relief, as the court may deem
14	•		appropriate.
15			
16	٠	DAT	ED this $\frac{\cancel{5}}{}$ day of July, 2013.
17			Respectfully submitted,
18			ELLEN F. ROSENBLUM
19			Attorney General
20			Jamaine L. Sio
21			Jermaine F. Brown OSB #073415 Assistant Attorney General
22			Department of Justice Of Attorneys for Plaintiff
23			1515 SW Fifth Ave, Suite 410 Portland, OR 97201
24			Phone: (971) 673-1880 Fax: (971) 673-1884
25			Email: jermaine.f.brown@doj.state.or.us
26			