State Agency Mediation Confidentiality - Rulemaking Advisory Committee 2:00 PM, Monday, March 14, 2016 <u>Agenda</u>

On October 27th, 2015 the Department of Justice adopted temporary rules mediation confidentiality model rules in order to comply with SB 189 (2015.) A link to SB 189 and related rules can be found at http://www.doj.state.or.us/adr/pages/rules.aspx. The purpose of this Advisory Committee meeting is to discuss issues related to state agency mediation confidentiality that were raised during the earlier rulemaking. These discussions may, or may not, result in changes to the mediation confidentiality rules that apply to all state agencies per ORS 36.224. The public is also invited to this meeting and will have an opportunity, during the public comment portion of the agenda, to offer comments.

<u>Location:</u> 4th floor conference room of the DOJ Robertson Building at 1215 State Street NE Salem, Oregon 97381.

Meeting agenda (Start times are approximate)

| Start | Minutes | Agenda item | | |
|-------|---------|--|--|--|
| Time | | | | |
| 2:00 | 10 | Introductions and Committee task | | |
| 2:10 | 90 | Discussion of ORS 36.224 related mediation confidentiality rules and in particular: | | |
| | | 1. The confidentiality of the pre-mediation assessment process. The concern that was | | |
| | | raised here by some stakeholders was the lack of confidentiality of communications | | |
| | | that are shared with/among parties during a pre-mediation assessment that occurs | | |
| | | in some processes (primarily the assessment of complex public policy type disputes.) | | |
| | | Current rules allow the mediator to keep these communications confidential, but not | | |
| | | the parties, unless an agreement to mediate is subsequently executed; | | |
| | | 2. Confidentiality of non-mediation collaborative processes per OAR 137-005-0050; and | | |
| | | 3. Exceptions in the rules that provide for less confidentiality in mediations involving | | |
| | | state agencies than for mediations that do not involve a state agency (e.g., | | |
| | | exceptions to allow for disclosures to prosecute certain crimes or as required by a | | |
| | | person's professional license.) | | |
| 3:40 | 15 | Public Comments | | |
| 3:55 | 5 | Conclusion and next Steps (Next and final meeting of this committee is April 21st, 2016 | | |
| | | from 2-4 pm at the Robertson building, 1215 state Street, Salem, Or 97301.) | | |

Invited Advisory Committee Members (as of 11-23-15)

| Organization or interest | Contact | Title/Company |
|------------------------------|--------------|--|
| ADR Section of the State Bar | Thom Brown | Cosgrave Vergeer Kester LLP |
| Mediator Organizations | Kevin Grant | President Oregon Mediation Association |
| State Agencies | Bill Ryan | Assistant Director, Aquatic Resource Management |
| | | Oregon Department of State Lands |
| ADR Programs | Turner Odell | Oregon Consensus and Oregon Solutions, National Policy |
| | | Consensus Center Portland State University |
| Private Mediators | Sam Imperati | President, ICMResolutions, Inc. |
| | | |

DOJ Participants

| Contact | Title/Company |
|---------------|--|
| Mike Niemeyer | ADR Coordinator |
| Amy Alpaugh | Assistant Attorney in Charge/ Opinions Coordinator |
| Steve Wolf | General Counsel Division, Chief Counsel |