

**From:** [Kevin Hoar](#)  
**To:** [Kron Michael C](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Comments I posted in the conference call chat window during AG Public Records Law Reform Task Force Subgroup April 13th  
**Date:** Thursday, April 14, 2016 12:48:39 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.jpg](#)  
[image002.jpg](#)

---

Hi Michael,

Can't remember anymore sorry. I do know someone made a comment that we have to protect the "livelihoods" of businesses bidding on government contracts. Might that help find the exact exemption(s) we were discussing in the conference recording?

### **Oregon Republican Party**

Kevin Hoar

Email: [Kevin.Hoar@orgop.org](mailto:Kevin.Hoar@orgop.org)

Website: [www.oregonrepublicanparty.org](http://www.oregonrepublicanparty.org)

Main: (503) 595-8881

Direct: 503-974-4627

Fax: (503) 697-5555

Headquarters: 25375 SW Parkway Ave, Suite 200, Wilsonville, OR 97070

"There are two ways to conquer and enslave a nation. One is by the sword. The other is by debt."

---

**From:** "C Kron" <michael.c.kron@doj.state.or.us>  
**To:** "Kevin Hoar" <kevin.hoar@orgop.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 13, 2016 5:35:36 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Comments I posted in the conference call chat window during AG Public Records Law Reform Task Force Subgroup April 13th

Thanks Kevin. Do you recall what we were discussing when you made the first comment? I am trying to figure out which of the business transactional exemptions it would apply to and how.

---

**From:** Kevin Hoar [mailto:kevin.hoar@orgop.org]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, April 13, 2016 3:43 PM  
**To:** Kron Michael C  
**Subject:** Comments I posted in the conference call chat window during AG Public Records Law Reform Task Force Subgroup April 13th  
**Importance:** High

Hi Michael,

Here's the comments I posted in the conference call chat window of the Attorney General's Public Records Law Reform Task Force Subgroup Wednesday, April 13th, 2016 1:00 – 3:00 PM

<http://www.doj.state.or.us/releases/Pages/2016/rel040616.aspx>

=====

Why is it in the public interest to guarantee livelihoods to businesses seeking gov't contracts?  
Sounds like we're enshrining potential cronyism... Don't we have to err on the side of public visibility  
on this type disbursement of taxpayer funds to maintain public confidence such transactions? Is  
there any oversight to this? If so, by whom?

What recommendation can the subcommittee make to ensure that confidential licensed  
professional matters are being handled properly by the gov't entity adjudicating the complaints? Is it  
left to the gov't entity to oversee and police itself? Why can't info be abstracted (individual info is  
redacted) for oversight purposes?

Where is the oversight of welfare benefit issuance? How do we detect patterns of malfeasance?  
Can't, again, this be done without exposing private info?

=====



Oregon Republican Party  
**Kevin Hoar**

Email: [Kevin.Hoar@orgop.org](mailto:Kevin.Hoar@orgop.org)

Website: [www.oregonrepublicanparty.org](http://www.oregonrepublicanparty.org)

Main: (503) 595-8881

Direct: (503) 974-4627

Fax: (503) 697-5555

Headquarters: 25375 SW Parkway Ave, Suite 200, Wilsonville, OR 97070

“There are two ways to conquer and enslave a nation. One is by the sword. The other is by debt.”  
John Adams 1826

||  
\*\*\*\*\*CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE\*\*\*\*\*

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from  
disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or  
otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-  
mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments  
from your system.

\*\*\*\*\*