S*T*O*P Violence Against Women Formula Grants

State: Oregon (OR)
Reporting Period - January 1, 2016 – December 31, 2016

General Grant Information

- Information for this report was submitted by 53 individual subgrantees for the January 1, 2016 – December 31, 2016 reporting period.
- Three (5.7%) subgrantees were faith-based organizations.
- Ten (18.9%) subgrantees were culturally-specific community-based organizations.
- 12 (22.6%) subgrantees reported using STOP Program funds for projects that specifically addressed tribal populations.
- Dual programs, Domestic violence programs, and Tribal sexual assault and/or domestic violence programs were the types of organizations most frequently using STOP funds. The following is a complete list of the types of funded organizations:

Type of funded organization	Subgrantees reporting		Type of funded organization	Subgrantees reporting	
	Number	Percent		Number	Percent
Dual program	22	41.5%	Domestic violence state coalition	0	0.0%
Domestic violence program	8	15.1%	Government agency	0	0.0%
Tribal sexual assault and/or domestic violence program	8	15.1%	Probation, parole, or other correctional agency	0	0.0%
Prosecution	5	9.4%	Sexual assault state coalition	0	0.0%
Sexual assault program	4	7.5%	Tribal coalition	0	0.0%
Law enforcement	2	3.8%	Tribal government	0	0.0%
Community-based organization	1	1.9%	Unit of local government	0	0.0%
Court	1	1.9%	University/school	0	0.0%
Dual state coalition	1	1.9%	Other	1	1.9%

- Subgrantees indicated that their STOP Program funds were directed to the following types of victimization:

Type(s) of victimization	Number of subgrantees	Percent
Domestic violence/dating violence only	9	17.0%
Sexual assault only	7	13.2%
Stalking only	0	0.0%
Domestic violence/dating violence and sexual assault	7	13.2%
Domestic violence/dating violence and stalking	1	1.9%
Sexual assault and stalking	0	0.0%
Domestic violence/dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking	29	54.7%

Staff

- Number of individual subgrantees using STOP Program funds for staff: 51 (96.2% of all subgrantees reporting)

Staff	FTEs	Percent of Total FTEs
Victim advocate	14.87	46.5%
Victim assistant	4.21	13.2%
Program coordinator	3.79	11.8%
Prosecutor	2.25	7.0%
Legal advocate	1.91	6.0%
Sexual assault nurse examiner/sexual assault forensic examiner	1.60	5.0%
Support staff	0.91	2.8%
Investigator	0.64	2.0%
Counselor	0.63	2.0%
Attorney	0.50	1.6%
Translator/interpreter	0.40	1.3%
Administrator	0.15	0.5%
Trainer	0.13	0.4%
Court personnel	0.00	0.0%
Information technology staff	0.00	0.0%
Law enforcement officer	0.00	0.0%
Paralegal	0.00	0.0%
Probation officer/offender monitor	0.00	0.0%
Other	0.00	0.0%
Total number of full time equivalent (FTE) staff funded	**31.99**	**100.0%[1]**

---

[1] Due to rounding error, individual percentages may add up to slightly more or slightly less than 100%. Total percentage will always display as 100%.

Training

- Number of individual subgrantees using funds for training: 18 (34.0% of all subgrantees reporting)
- Total number of people trained: 2,436
- Total number of training events: 101
- The following are the most frequently reported categories of people trained:

People trained	Number	Percent
Law enforcement officers	627	25.7%
Victim advocates	365	15.0%
Social service organization staff	258	10.6%
Government agency staff	256	10.5%
Educators	117	4.8%
Court personnel	113	4.6%
Volunteers	111	4.6%
Multidisciplinary (various disciplines at same training)	95	3.9%
Attorneys/law students	90	3.7%
Faith-based organization staff	81	3.3%
Victim assistants	60	2.5%
Prosecutors	55	2.3%

- The following were the training topics most frequently reported by the subgrantees: Safety planning for victims/survivors, Advocate response, Domestic violence overview, dynamics, and services, Protection orders, and Sexual assault overview, dynamics, and services.

Victim Services

During the 12-month reporting period, STOP Program subgrantees provided services to 6,614 victims/survivors[2] of sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking to help them become and remain safe from violence.

- Number of individual subgrantees using funds for victim services: 47 (88.7% of all subgrantees reporting)

Victims/survivors seeking services who were served, partially served, or not served

	Domestic violence/dating violence		Sexual assault		Stalking		Total	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Served	5,431	95.6%	794	91.2%	184	98.4%	6,409	95.1%
Partially served	201	3.5%	1	0.1%	3	1.6%	205	3.0%
Not served	47	0.8%	76	8.7%	0	0.0%	123	1.8%
Total seeking services	5,679	100%	871	100%	187	100%	6,737	100%

Victims receiving services

- Number of victims/survivors receiving services: 6,614 (98.2% of those seeking services).

Type of victimization	Primary victims/survivors served	
	Number	Percent
Domestic violence/ dating violence	5,632	85.2%
Sexual assault	795	12.0%
Stalking	187	2.8%
Total	6,614	100.0%

---

[2] Includes served and partially served primary victims/survivors.

Reasons victims/survivors were not served

The following were the barriers most frequently reported by the subgrantees as reasons victims/survivors were not served or were partially served:

- Program reached capacity
- Program unable to provide service due to limited resources/priority-setting
- Services not appropriate for victim/survivor
- Services inappropriate or inadequate for victims/survivors with mental health issues
- Program rules not acceptable to victim/survivor
- Services inappropriate or inadequate for victims/survivors with substance abuse issues

Secondary victims served[3]

- Number of secondary victims receiving services: 1,483

Type of victimization	Secondary victims served	
	Number	Percent
Domestic violence/ dating violence	1,367	92.2%
Sexual assault	85	5.7%
Stalking	31	2.1%
Total	1,483	100.0%

---

[3] With the exception of shelter services data, which reflect family members receiving shelter, no other information relating to secondary victims is reported here.

S*T*O*P Violence Against Women Formula Grants

Of the 6,614 victims/survivors served during the 12-month reporting period, those who were served or partially served were most likely to be White (70.9%)[4], Female (90.2%)[5], and between the ages of 25 and 59 (73.7%)[5].

Demographics	Number of victims/survivors	Percent
Race/ethnicity[4]		
American Indian or Alaska Native	410	7.3%
Asian	71	1.3%
Black or African American	201	3.6%
Hispanic or Latino	958	17.0%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	61	1.1%
White	3,992	70.9%
Unknown	986	
Gender[5]		
Female	5,735	90.2%
Male	620	9.8%
Unknown	259	
Age[5]		
0-12		
13-17	121	2.0%
18-24	879	14.8%
25-59	4,380	73.7%
60+	559	9.4%
Unknown	675	
Other demographics[6]		
People with disabilities	739	11.2%
People with limited English proficiency	585	8.8%
People who are immigrants/refugees/asylum seekers	310	4.7%
People who live in rural areas	3,274	49.5%

---

[4] Some victims/survivors may identify as more than one race/ethnicity, so the total number reported in race/ethnicity may be higher than the total number of victims/survivors served. Percentages are based on victims/survivors receiving services for whom this information was known.

[5] Percentages are based on victims/survivors receiving services for whom this information was known.

[6] Because victims/survivors may be represented in more than one of these categories, or not at all, the total for this category may be higher or lower than the total number of victims/survivors served. Percentages are based on victims/survivors receiving services for the 12-month reporting period.

Relationship to offender[7]

Relationship to offender	Domestic violence/ dating violence		Sexual assault		Stalking	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Current or former spouse or intimate partner	3,754	73.4%	205	27.1%	61	38.4%
Other family or household member	678	13.3%	95	12.6%	17	10.7%
Dating relationship	612	12.0%	71	9.4%	21	13.2%
Acquaintance	70	1.4%	283	37.4%	50	31.4%
Stranger	0	0.0%	102	13.5%	10	6.3%
Relationship unknown	554		78		37	
Total (excluding unknown)	5,114	100%	756	100%	159	100%

Type of service received

Type of service	Number of victims/survivors	Percent of those receiving services
Crisis intervention	4,583	69.3%
Victim/survivor advocacy	3,761	56.9%
Civil legal advocacy/court accompaniment	2,050	31.0%
Counseling services/support group	1,326	20.0%
Criminal justice advocacy/court accompaniment	858	13.0%
Transportation	771	11.7%
Hospital/clinic/other medical response	297	4.5%
Language services	240	3.6%
Forensic exam	87	1.3%
Other	91	1.4%

---

[7] Victims/survivors may have been abused by more than one offender and/or may have experienced more than one type of victimization, so the total of all relationships (including unknown) for each victimization category may be higher than the total number of victims/survivors served in each of those categories. Percentages are based on the total number of known relationships in each victimization category.

Shelter services

- 752 victims/survivors and 608 family members received a total of 33,301 bed nights in emergency shelter.
- 18 victims/survivors and 15 family members received a total of 3,410 bed nights in transitional housing.

Hotline calls

- 9,409 hotline calls were received from primary victims/survivors.
- A total of 14,681 hotline calls were received.

Victim-witness notification/outreach to victims/survivors

- 2,342 notification/outreach services were provided to victims/survivors.

Protection orders

- Of the protection orders[8] for which victim services staff provided assistance, 1,602 orders were granted for domestic violence/dating violence victims/survivors; 35 orders were granted for sexual assault victims/survivors; and 71 orders were granted for stalking victims/survivors.

---

[8] These orders may also be referred to as protection from abuse, protection from harassment or anti-harassment orders, restraining orders, or no-contact or stay-away orders.

Criminal Justice

The STOP Program promotes a coordinated community approach that includes law enforcement, prosecution, courts, probation, victim services, and public and private community resources. Criminal justice data reported here reflects only those activities supported with STOP Program funds.

Law Enforcement

- Number of individual subgrantees using STOP Program funds for law enforcement: 1 (1.9% of all subgrantees reporting)[9]

Activity	Sexual assault	Domestic violence/ dating violence	Stalking	Total activities
Calls for assistance	0	0	0	0
Incident reports	0	0	0	0
Cases/incidents investigated	2	157	0	159
Forensic medical evidence	0	■	■	0
Arrests	0	0	0	0
Dual arrests	■	0	■	0
Protection/ex parte/temporary restraining orders served	0	0	0	0
Arrests for violation of bail bond	0	0	0	0
Enforcement of warrants	0	0	0	0
Arrests for violation of protection order	0	0	0	0
Protection orders issued	0	0	0	0
Referrals of cases to prosecutor	0	0	0	0
Referrals of federal firearms charges to federal prosecutor	0	0	0	0

---

[9] These subgrantees reported only on law enforcement activities funded under their STOP Program subgrants.

Prosecution

- Number of individual subgrantees using STOP Program funds for prosecution: 3 (5.7% of all subgrantees reporting)

Cases received, accepted for prosecution, declined, or transferred

Received/accepted/declined/transferred	Domestic violence/ dating violence	Sexual assault	Stalking
Number of case referrals received	989	4	0
Number of cases accepted for prosecution	682	4	0
Number of cases declined	276	4	0
Transferred to higher or lower court that is outside grant-funded jurisdiction	1	0	0

Dispositions of cases

| Type of case | Total disposed | Total convicted[10] | |
		Number	% of disposed
Domestic violence/dating ordinance	0	0	0.0%
Misdemeanor domestic violence/dating violence	230	202	87.8%
Felony domestic violence/dating violence	143	128	89.5%
Domestic violence/dating violence homicide	2	2	100.0%
Misdemeanor sexual assault	0	0	0.0%
Felony sexual assault	0	0	0.0%
Sexual assault homicide	0	0	0.0%
Stalking ordinance	0	0	0.0%
Misdemeanor stalking	0	0	0.0%
Felony stalking	0	0	0.0%
Stalking homicide	0	0	0.0%
Violation of bail	0	0	0.0%
Violation of probation or parole	22	20	90.9%
Violation of protection order	238	200	84.0%
Violation of other court order	0	0	0.0%
Other	0	0	0.0%

---

[10] This number includes deferred adjudications, which represented 1.6 % of all conviction outcomes.

Victim/survivor referrals to victim services

- Prosecution personnel made 543 referrals of victims/survivors to governmental victim services and 236 referrals to non-governmental victim services.

Protection orders

- Of the protection orders[11] for which prosecution staff provided assistance, 385 orders were granted for domestic violence/dating violence victims/survivors.

Courts

No subgrantees reported data for this subsection of the report form.

Probation and Parole

No subgrantees reported data for this subsection of the report form.

Batterer Intervention Program (BIP)

No subgrantees reported data for this subsection of the report form.

---

[11] These orders may also be referred to as protection from abuse, protection from harassment or anti-harassment orders, restraining orders, or no-contact or stay-away orders.