From: MATASAR Emily \* GOV

To: Selena Deckelmann; Kron Michael C

 $\frac{\text{HERKERT Mary E*SOS; smith.morgan@co.polk.or.us; Karin Johnson; eileen@eakinslaw.com; Adrienne Roark (Adrienne.Roark@kptv.com); bwalth@uoregon.edu; Charlie Fisher (cfisher@ospirg.org); REP Power; REP Wilson; REP Wilson; REP Wilson; REP ROMER (Charlie Fisher (Cfisher@ospirg.org)); REP Power; REP Wilson; REP Wilson; REP ROMER (Charlie Fisher (Cfisher@ospirg.org)); REP ROMER (Cfisher@ospirg.org); REP ROMER (C$ Cc:

SEN Boquist; SEN Prozanski; MILES Cameron; Foltz Andrew; Bennett Hall; MCCALL Ginger \* PRC; Nguyen Ally;

Altenhofen Martin

Subject: RE: Upcoming Meeting - January 23, in Portland Date: Wednesday, January 23, 2019 3:10:27 PM

Sunshine Commission subcommittee questions:

- o what are the different kinds of PII that would be covered
- o defining what disclosure means / licensing model
  - publicly to everyone
  - press using info to verify things
  - enforcement
- o rules that apply to bulk data access
- o in what ways should the law change
- o what are the particular and specific issues people have with the way the public records law addresses PII
- o what should never be exempt
- o what should always be exempt
- o public interest reasons why different kinds of PII should be disclosed
- o find a way to consolidate all PII into one exemption (or fewer exemptions)
- o recommendation to full committee

Emily Matasar | Government Accountability Attorney

emily.matasar@oregon.gov

Office of Governor Kate Brown

(503) 986-3492

Pronouns: she/her/hers