

Appendix A STOP VAWA Implementation Planning Subcommittee Contact List

Name	Agency	Email Address
Steve Bellshaw, Former Deputy Chief (April 2022)	Salem Police Department	bellshaw260@gmail.com
Carl Wilkerson, Sheriff	Lane County Sheriff's Office	carl.wilkerson@lanecountyor.gov
Brian Dubray, Police Chief	Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua & Siuslaw Indians	bdubray@ctclusi.org
John Wentworth, District Attorney	Clackamas County	JWentworth@clackamas.us
Sarah Sabri, SR. Assistant Attorney General/Criminal Justice Division (Chair IP Sub)	Oregon DOJ Criminal Justice Division - DV Resource Prosecutor	sarah.m.sabri@doj.state.or.us
Emily Hyde, Program Supervisor	Multnomah County Victim Assistance Program	Emily.hyde@mcda.us
Melanie Prummer, Executive Director	Peace At Home Advocacy Center	melanie@peaceathome.com
Shannon Rose, Executive Director	Oregon Sexual Assault Task Force (Oregon SATF)	Director@oregonsatf.org
Haley Gates, CJ Training Coordinator		training@oregonsatf.org
Keri Moran Kuhn, Executive Director	Oregon Coalition Against Domestic and Sexual Violence (OCADSV)	kerimk@ocadsv.org
Desiree Coyote, Family Violence Services Program Manager	Confederated Tribes of Umatilla Indian Reservation	DesireeCoyote@ctuir.org
Rachelle Endres, CARE Program Manager	Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians	RachelleE@ctsi.nsn.us
Amy Benedum, J.D.	Oregon Judicial Department (OJD)	Amy.e.benedum@ojd.state.or.us
Sybil Hebb	Oregon Law Center Director of Legislative Advocacy State Support Unit Attorney	shebb@oregonlawcenter.org
Debra Dority		ddority@oregonlawcenter.org
Jenny Woodson, Domestic and Sexual Violence Program Coordinator	Oregon DHS, Domestic Violence Survivor Programs	Jenny.Woodson@dhsosha.state.or.us
Tawna Sanchez, Director of Family Services	Native American Youth and Family Center	sanchezT@nayapdx.org
Marjorie Glick, VOCA Fund Coordinator	Oregon DOJ, CVSSD	Marjorie.Glick@doj.oregon.gov

Appendix A STOP VAWA Implementation Planning Subcommittee Contact List

Name	Agency	Email Address
Candy Rosenberg, Paralegal (Crime Victim and Survivor)	Crime Victim Advocate & Trauma Recovery Services	paralegalplusgp@gmail.com

Community Partners and Guests at VAWA Implementation Planning Virtual Videoconferences and Meetings

Name	Agency	Email Address
Kelly Bradley, Social Service Director - Circle of Healing	Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua & Siuslaw Indians	kbradley@ctclusi.org
Dennis White III, Tribal Council Chairman, Victims of Crime Services (VOCS)	Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs Reservation	dwhite@wstribes.org
Rachelle Endres, CARE Program Coordinator/Advocate	Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians	RachelleE@ctsi.nsn.us
Vacant, Social Service Director	Burns Paiute Tribe	
Korey Mereness, Interim General Manager		Korey.mereness@burnspaiute-ns.gov
Kristeny Soucie, Program Cultural Advocate		Kristeny.soucie@burnspaiute-nsn.gov
Candi Uses Arrow, Healing Winds Program Mgr.	Klamath Tribes	candi.usesarrow@klamathtribes.com
Lisa Ruiz, Social Service Director		Lisa.ruiz@klamathtribes.com
Lisa Mielke, AH & HS Admin Comm Health and Family Support	Coquille Tribe	lisamielke@coquilletribe.org
Michele Moore, Human Services Director	Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe of Indians	mmoore@cowcreek.com
Julie Zuver, VOCA Victim Assistance		jzuver@cowcreek.com
Kelly Sennick, DVSA Program Coordinator		
Cassie Harper, DV Program Coordinator - Warriors of Hope	Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde	Cassie.Harper@grandronde.org
Dana Ainam, Social Services Director		Dana.leno@grandronde.org

CVSSD Advisory Committee

Steve Bellshaw, Retired Deputy Chief of Police, Salem Police Department

Amy Benedum, Oregon Judicial Department Staff Counsel

Debra Dority, State Support Unit Attorney, Oregon Law Center

Melissa Erlbaum, Director, Clackamas Women's Services

Perla Estrada, Director, UNICA, El Programa Hispano Catolico

Sybil Hebb, Director of Legislative Advocacy, Oregon Law Center

Keri Moran-Kuhn, Executive Director, Oregon Coalition Against Domestic and Sexual Violence

Justin Nelson, District Attorney, Morrow County

Barb Palicki, Director, Curry County CAIC, Retired

Geeta Paul, Wolf Pack Consulting and Therapeutic Services

Melanie Prummer, Executive Director, Peace at Home Advocacy Center

Shannon Rose, Executive Director, Oregon Sexual Assault Task Force

Sarah Stewart, Former Executive Director, Kids FIRST

Carrie Walker, Clackamas County Victim Assistance Program

Appendix B-1 Underserved and Population Specific Communities & Tribal Nations

UNDERSERVED AND POPULATION SPECIFIC COMMUNITIES AND TRIBAL NATIONS

- Non-English Speakers
- Those who reside in rural and frontier areas
- Lesbian/Gay/Bi-Sexual/Transgender/Queer/Intersex/Asexual/Two-spirit+ (LGBTQIA2S+)
- Native Americans and other communities indigenous to the United States
- Black and African American
- Asian Pacific Islander
- Eastern European
- Immigrants and Refugees
- Latino/Latina
- People with physical or mental limitations
- Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Blind and Low Vision
- Teens
- Elderly
- People who work in the sex industry
- Victims of Labor Trafficking
- Victims of Sex Trafficking
- Farm Workers
- Mail Order Brides
- Impoverished
- Spouses and children of combat veterans
- Oregon Multiracial
- People Living Houseless and Below Poverty Level
- Veterans

OREGON FEDERALLY RECOGNIZED TRIBES

- Burns Paiute Tribe
- Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw Indians
- Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe of Indians
- Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs Indian Reservation
- Klamath Tribe
- Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians
- Coquille Indian Tribe
- Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde
- Confederated Tribes of Umatilla Indian Reservation

Appendix B - 2 Cultural & Population Specific Community Partner Organizations

Region	County	Organization	Website	Funded	Mission	Community
1	Clatsop	Astoria Warming Center	https://www.astoriawarmingcenter.com	No	Emergency shelter	Unhoused
1	Clatsop	Clatsop County Behavioral Health	https://www.clatsopcounty.gov/behavioralhealth	No	Mental health	Behavioral
1	Clatsop	Consejo Hispano	https://consejohispano.org	No	Cultural services	Latino
1	Clatsop	Lower Columbia Q Center	https://www.lcqccenter.org	No	LGBTQ support	LGBTQ
1	Clatsop/ Tillamook	NW Senior & Disability Services	https://nwsds.org	No	Care coordination	Seniors
2	Multnomah	Adelante Mujeres	https://adelantemujeres.org	No	Leadership	Latina
2	Multnomah	African Youth & Community Organization (AYCO)	https://ayco.org	Yes	Youth leadership	African
2	Multnomah	Basic Rights Oregon	https://basicrights.org	No	Advocacy	LGBTQ
2	Multnomah	Bradley Angle House	https://bradleyangle.org	Yes	DV shelter	Survivors
2	Multnomah	CAIR Oregon	https://cair-oregon.org	No	Civil rights	Muslim
2	Multnomah	Coalition of Communities of Color	https://cccOregon.org	No	Equity	Communities color
2	Multnomah	Disability Rights Oregon	https://droregon.org	Yes	Legal advocacy	Disability
2	Multnomah	Hand Up Project	https://www.thehandupproject.org	No	Mentorship	Youth
2	Multnomah	Home Forward	https://homeforward.org	No	Housing	Low-income
2	Multnomah	Immigrant and Refugee Community Organization (IRCO)	https://irco.org	Yes	Integration	Immigrants
2	Multnomah	IRCO Africa House	https://irco.org/africa-house	Yes	Cultural support	African
2	Multnomah	IRCO Asian Family Center	https://irco.org/asian-family-center	Yes	DV services	Asian
2	Multnomah	YMCA Child Dev Center	https://ymcacw.org	Yes	Childcare	Families
2	Multnomah	Native American Youth and Family Center (NAYA)	https://naya.org	Yes	Youth development	Native
2	Multnomah	Northwest Family Services	https://nwfs.org	Yes	Counseling	Families
2	Multnomah	Outside In	https://outsidein.org	No	Youth services	Homeless youth
2	Multnomah	Portland Q Center	https://pdxqcenter.org	No	Community hub	LGBTQ
2	Multnomah	SE Uplift	https://seuplift.org	No	Community	Residents
2	Multnomah	Self Enhancement, Ince (SEI)	https://selfenhancement.org	Yes	Youth programs	Black youth

Region	County	Organization	Website	Funded	Mission	Community
2	Multnomah	Slavic Oregon Social Services	https://sossoregon.org	Yes	Cultural services	Slavic
2	Multnomah	SMYRC	https://newavenues.org/smyrc	No	Youth support	LGBTQ youth
2	Multnomah	Somali Council	https://somalicouncil.org	No	Community	Somali
2	Multnomah	Abundant Life PDX	https://www.abundantlifepdx.com	Yes	Mentorship	Youth
2	Multnomah	TransActive	https://transactivegenderproject.org	No	Advocacy	Trans youth
2	Multnomah	Transitions Project	https://tprojects.org	No	Housing	Homeless
2	Multnomah	Unite Oregon	https://uniteoregon.org	No	Advocacy	Immigrants
2	Multnomah	VOZ	https://vozworkers.org	No	Worker rights	Workers
2	Washington	Centro Cultural	https://centrocultural.org	No	Community	Latino
2	Washington	Cool Islam	https://www.coolislam.org	No	Youth engagement	Muslim
2	Washington	Muslim Educational Trust (MET)	https://metpdx.org	No	Religious services	Muslim
2	Washington	Muslimah's United	https://musulmahunited.org	Yes	DV advocacy	Muslim women
2	Statewide	Oregon Abuse Advocates & Survivors in Service (OAASIS)	https://oaasisoregon.org	Yes	Adult Survivors of Childhood SA	BIPOC & LGBTQ
3	Lincoln	PFLAG Central Coast	https://pflag.org	No	Support	LGBTQ
3	Marion	HOME Youth Center	https://www.homeyouthservices.org	No	Youth shelter	Homeless youth
3	Marion	Mano a Mano	https://manoamanofc.org	No	Family support	Latino
3	Marion	NW Senior & Disability Services	https://nwsds.org	No	Care	Seniors
3	Marion	PCUN	https://pcun.org	Yes	Worker advocacy	Farmworkers
4	Coos	Devereux	https://www.devereux.org	No	Behavioral health	Youth
4	Coos	Human Rights Advocates	https://hrccooscounty.org	No	Advocacy	Marginalized
4	Coos	Oregon Coast Community Action (ORCCA)	https://orcca.us	No	Anti-poverty	Low-income
4	Coos	Star of Hope	https://starofhopeonline.org	No	Shelter	Unhoused
4	Lane	Centro Latino Americano	https://centrolatinoamericano.org	No	Cultural services	Latino
4	Lane	Florence PFLAG	https://pflag.org	No	Support	LGBTQ
4	Lane	Homes for Good	https://homesforgood.org	No	Housing	Low-income
4	Lane	NAACP Eugene	https://naacplanecounty.org	No	Civil rights	Black
5	Jackson	Addictions Recovery Center	https://www.addictionsrecovery.org	No	Treatment	Substance use
5	Jackson	Unite Oregon	https://uniteoregon.org	No	Advocacy	Immigrant

Region	County	Organization	Website	Funded	Mission	Community
6	Deschutes	Central Oregon Community College (COCC)	https://www.cocc.edu/dei	No	Education	Students
6	Deschutes	PFLAG Bend	https://pflag.org	No	Support	LGBTQ
6	Wasco	Wasco Pride	https://www.facebook.com/wascopride	No	Community	LGBTQ
7	Umatilla	Pendleton PFLAG	https://pflag.org	No	Support	LGBTQ
7	Union	Eastern Oregon University LGBTQ+ Group	n/a	No	Community	LGBTQ

Community	Organization	Government / Nonprofit / Individual	Notes and Mission Statements
Tribal Nations Federally Recognized Tribe	Burns Paiute Tribe	Sovereign Nation	The BP Tribe includes its lands in Harney County and is their primary service area. The Victim Service Program provides direct victim services focused on tribal populations.
Tribal Nations Federally Recognized Tribe	Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua & Suislaw Indians	Sovereign Nation	The Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua & Suislaw Indians (CTCLUSI) includes its lands and service area in 5 counties: Coos , Curry, Douglas, Lane, and Lincoln. The Circles of Healing Program provides direct victim services focused on tribal populations.
Tribal Nations Federally Recognized Tribe	Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde	Sovereign Nation	The CTGR includes its lands and service area in 11 counties: Benton, Clackamas, Lane, Lincoln, Linn, Polk , Marion, Multnomah, Tillamook, Washington, and Yamhill. The Warriors of Hope Program provides direct victim services focused on tribal populations.

Community	Organization	Government / Nonprofit / Individual	Notes and Mission Statements
Tribal Nations Federally Recognized Tribe	Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians	Sovereign Nation	The CTSI includes its lands and service area in 11 counties: Benton, Clackamas, Lincoln , Linn, Lane, Marion, Multnomah, Yamhill, Polk, Tillamook, and Washington. The CARE Program provides direct victim services focused on tribal populations.
Tribal Nations Federally Recognized Tribe	Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation	Sovereign Nation	The CTUIR includes Umatilla County in its service area. CTUIR Family Violence Program (similar to nonprofit DVSA services) & VAP Program (tribal prosecutor's office) provide direct victim services focused on tribal populations.
Tribal Nations Federally Recognized Tribe	Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs Reservation	Sovereign Nation	The CTWS includes its lands and service area in Jefferson and Wasco Counties. The tribe's Victims of Crime Act (VOCS) program provides direct victim services focused on tribal populations.
Tribal Nations Federally Recognized Tribe	Coquille Indian Tribe	Sovereign Nation	Coquille Indian Tribe includes its lands and service area in Coos , Curry, Douglas, Lane and Jackson Counties and primarily serves tribal populations. The Coquille Indian Tribe doesn't currently have a victim service program however does provide victim service emergency support and referral through their tribal health and social service department.
Tribal Nations Federally Recognized Tribe	Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Tribe of Indians	Sovereign Nation	Cow Creek includes 7 counties in its service area in Douglas , Jackson, Josephine, Coos, Deschutes, Lane and Klamath. The Victim Service Program provides direct victim services focused on tribal populations.
Tribal Nations Federally Recognized Tribe	The Klamath Tribe	Sovereign Nation	The Klamath Tribe includes its lands and service area in Klamath County. The Healing Winds Domestic & Sexual Violence Prevention Program provides direct victim services focused on tribal populations.

IP Planning Subcommittee Members	VAWA Planning Meetings and Individual Planning Discussions (detail didn't show on participant forms with limited character space, directed to view this document from participant form)
1 Clackamas County District Attorney, John Wentworth	10/26/2023 Annual VAWA IP Planning Meeting 10/22/2024 Annual VAWA IP Planning Meeting 10/28/2025 Annual VAWA IP Planning Meeting 11/5/2025 Community Collaboration Meeting (in person, tribal hosted)
2 Salem Police Department, Retired Deputy Chief Steve Bellshaw	VAWA IP annual meetings include:10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025. Email correspondence: 4/1/2026 Review of Section V.A. Goals and Objectives
3 Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians (CTSI) CARE Program, Rachelle Endres	VAWA Annual IP Planning Meeting: Invited Kimberly Lane at CTSI 10/26/2023 and 10/22/2024, New IP subcommittee Member attended 10/28/25. November 6, 2024, Community Collaboration meeting (in person, tribal hosted, Lincoln County).
4 Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua & Suislaw Indians (CTCLUSI), Brian Dubray Police Chief	10/26/2023 Annual VAWA IP Planning Meeting 10/22/2024 Annual VAWA IP Planning Meeting 10/28/2025 Annual VAWA IP Planning Meeting 11/5/2025 Community Collaboration Meeting (in person, tribal hosted) 4/2/2026 law enforcement specific discussion 4/3/2026 email exchange on crime stats
5 Confederated Tribes of Umatilla Indian Reservation, Desireé Coyote, Family Violence Program Manager	Annual VAWA IP Planning meetings include:10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025. Multiple ongoing virtual and in person meetings in 2023 - 2026 regarding Community Collaboration and Tribal Needs Assessment Project and related IP goals and objectives
6 Crime Victim Survivor	Annual VAWA IP Planning meetings include:10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025. January 12, 2026 meeting to provide additional advocate perspective to statewide prosecutor and law enforcement trainings. April 2026 virtual meeting to address questions on review process and emphasis and audience for plan (OVW).
7 Lane County Sheriff Carl Wilkerson	Initial phone meeting to discuss importance of LE perspective in VAWA planning, 10/28/2025 Annual VAWA Implementation Planning Meeting (Sheriff

	Craig attended 2024 and retired, Sheriff Wilkerson was appointed after 2024 annual meeting)
8 Multnomah County DA Victim Assistance Program, Emily Hyde	Annual VAWA IP planning meeting on 10/22/2024 and 10/28/2025. (prior to her appointment, Ashley Beatty from Deschutes County was on committee and attended 10/26/23). Multiple phone/team meeting conversations on prosecution certification policy and protocol.
9 NAYA, Tawna Sanchez	Annual VAWA IP Planning meetings include:10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025. Additional phone conversations during plan final review in May 2026 (listed in plan appendix summary of issues raised).
10 OCADSV Keri Moran Kuhn	Annual VAWA IP Planning meetings include: 10/26/2023 and 10/22/2024 and a separate planning meeting on 11/18/2025 (unable to attend 10/28/2025).
11 ODHS Jenny Woodson	Annual VAWA IP Planning meetings include:10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025 (didn't attend, rescheduled 11/18/25 didn't attend, materials provided electronically). Community Collaboration Meeting (in person, tribal hosted) on 9/23/24 and 10/7/2024
12 ODOJ CVSSD Marjorie Glick, VOCA Fund Coordinator	VAWA IP meetings include:10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025. May 20, 2025 Community Collaboration Meeting (in person, Tribal Hosted, Harney County). Additionally, multiple ongoing discussions on intersecting issues between VOCA and VAWA.
13 Oregon Judicial Department (OJD) Amy Benedum	Annual VAWA Implementation Planning Meeting 10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025. Multiple email exchanges and phone conversations regarding Early FAPA notification project and protection orders and statewide training for judicial. Individual meetings with Amy on firearms dispossession on 4/24/25 and 7/7/2025, 4/1/2026 and 4/2/2026 judicial specific planning session (3.5 hours)
14 Oregon Law Center Debra Dority	Annual VAWA IP Planning meetings include:10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025 (invited to this meeting, didn't attend, attended rescheduled meeting on 11/8/2025). Multiple email exchanges and phone conversations

	regarding Early FAPA Notification Project, Firearms Disposition. Colleague Sybil Hebb attended Annual IP Planning meeting 10/22/2024 (invited to 10/26/23 and 10/28/25 meetings but unable to attend. D Dority attended).
15 OR SATF Shannon Rose Executive Director	Annual VAWA IP Planning meetings include:10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025. Multiple email exchanges/phone conversations regarding statewide training and IP goals/objectives.
16 Peace at Home Advocacy Center, Melanie Prummer Executive Director	Annual VAWA IP planning meeting 10/26/2023, 10/22/2024 and 10/28/2025; May 7, 2025 Community Collaboration Meeting (in person, tribal hosted, Douglas County).
17 ODOJ Criminal Justice, Domestic Violence Resource Prosecutor (DVRP) – Sarah Sabri	Annual VAWA IP Planning meetings include:10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025. 3/31/26 (2 hours) DV Homicide and Fatality Review Planning Session. Multiple ongoing discussions and IP Planning sessions between 1/2023 and date of release on 4/17/2026.

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Implementation Planning Participation

Please send back to Amanda L Shinkle at amanda.l.shinkle@doj.oregon.gov by 05/15/2026

State/Territory: Oregon

Administering Agency: Oregon Department of Justice, Crime Victim & Survivor Services

Participant Agency: Clackamas County District Attorney's Office

Type of Agency: Prosecution

If population specific organization, please specify which population: _____

Other: _____

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): _____
10/26/2023 Annual VAWA IP Planning Meeting 10/22/2024 Annual VAWA IP Planning Meeting 10/28/2025 Annual VAWA IP Planning Meeting 11/9/2025 Community Collaboration IV

Did you receive notification of meeting dates at least one month in advance? ☒ Yes ☐ No

How were you notified? (check all that apply)

☒ Email ☐ In-person ☐ Phone call ☐ Website post ☐ Letter ☐ Other: _____

Were you able to participate in the meetings? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, how many meetings did you attend? ☒ All ☐ Some

Meeting format: (check all that apply) ☐ Teleconference ☒ Video conference ☐ In-person

If no, please explain: _____

During the meeting(s), were you able to freely provide input, ask questions, share concerns, and propose goals? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Did you receive a draft of the Implementation Plan *and* a list of major concerns raised during the planning process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were you given at least one month to review the draft plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were the major concerns raised during the planning process included in the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Did you provide comments or recommended changes to the draft plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Did you receive a copy of the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

If applicable, do you believe your recommended changes to the draft plan were adequately addressed in the Final Implementation Plan?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☒ Not applicable

If no, please explain: _____

Overall, were the feedback, concerns, recommended goals, etc. of planning group participants adequately reflected in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Do you have any concerns with the content of the Final Implementation Plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please explain: _____

Name DA John Wentworth

Signature 

Date 05/15/2026

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Implementation Planning Participation

Please send back to Amanda L Shinkle at amanda.l.shinkle@doj.oregon.gov by 05/15/2026

State/Territory: Oregon

Administering Agency: Oregon Department of Justice, Crime Victim & Survivor Services

Participant Agency: Retired Deputy Chief at Salem Police Department

Type of Agency: Law Enforcement

If population specific organization, please specify which population: _____

Other: _____

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): 10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, 10/28/2025

Did you receive notification of meeting dates at least one month in advance? ☒ Yes ☐ No *email - 4/1/2026 - Review Section V. A Goals & objectives*

How were you notified? (check all that apply)

☒ Email ☐ In-person ☐ Phone call ☐ Website post ☐ Letter ☐ Other: _____

Were you able to participate in the meetings? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, how many meetings did you attend? ☒ All ☐ Some

Meeting format: (check all that apply) ☒ Teleconference ☐ Video conference ☐ In-person

If no, please explain: _____

During the meeting(s), were you able to freely provide input, ask questions, share concerns, and propose goals? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Did you receive a draft of the Implementation Plan *and* a list of major concerns raised during the planning process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were you given at least one month to review the draft plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were the major concerns raised during the planning process included in the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Did you provide comments or recommended changes to the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did you receive a copy of the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

If applicable, do you believe your recommended changes to the draft plan were adequately addressed in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

If no, please explain: _____

Overall, were the feedback, concerns, recommended goals, etc. of planning group participants adequately reflected in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Do you have any concerns with the content of the Final Implementation Plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please explain: _____

Name Steve Belshaw

Signature 

Date 06/15/2025

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Implementation Planning Participation

Please send back to Amanda L. Shinkle at amanda.l.shinkle@doj.oregon.gov by 05/15/2026

State/Territory: Oregon

Administering Agency: Oregon Department of Justice, Crime Victim & Survivor Services

Participant Agency: Confederated Tribe of Siletz Indians

Type of Agency: Tribal Organization

If population specific organization, please specify which population: Siletz Tribal Members

Other: Other Federally Recognized Tribes in 11 County Service area

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): VAWA Annual IP Planning Meeting: invited Kimberly Lane at CTBI 10/26/2023 and 10/22/2024. New IP subcommittee Member attended 10/26/25, November 6, 2024. Community Cc

Did you receive notification of meeting dates at least one month in advance? ☒ Yes ☐ No

How were you notified? (check all that apply)

☒ Email ☐ In-person ☐ Phone call ☐ Website post ☐ Letter ☐ Other: _____

Were you able to participate in the meetings? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, how many meetings did you attend? ☐ All ☒ Some

Meeting format: (check all that apply) ☒ Teleconference ☐ Video conference ☒ In-person

If no, please explain: _____

During the meeting(s), were you able to freely provide input, ask questions, share concerns, and propose goals? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Did you receive a draft of the Implementation Plan *and* a list of major concerns raised during the planning process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were you given at least one month to review the draft plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were the major concerns raised during the planning process included in the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Did you provide comments or recommended changes to the draft plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Did you receive a copy of the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

If applicable, do you believe your recommended changes to the draft plan were adequately addressed in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

If no, please explain: _____

Overall, were the feedback, concerns, recommended goals, etc. of planning group participants adequately reflected in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Do you have any concerns with the content of the Final Implementation Plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please explain: _____

Name Rachelle Endres

Signature *Rachelle Endres*

Date 05/15/2026

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Implementation Planning Participation

Please send back to _____ at _____ by _____

State/Territory: _____

Administering Agency: _____

Participant Agency: _____

Type of Agency: _____

If population specific organization, please specify which population: _____

Other: _____

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): _____

Did you receive notification of meeting dates at least one month in advance? Yes No

How were you notified? (check all that apply)

Email In-person Phone call Website post Letter Other: _____

Were you able to participate in the meetings? Yes No

If yes, how many meetings did you attend? All Some

Meeting format: (check all that apply) Teleconference Video conference In-person

If no, please explain: _____

During the meeting(s), were you able to freely provide input, ask questions, share concerns, and propose goals? Yes No Partially

Did you receive a draft of the Implementation Plan *and* a list of major concerns raised during the planning process? Yes No

If no, please explain: _____

Were you given at least one month to review the draft plan? Yes No

If no, please explain: _____

Were the major concerns raised during the planning process included in the draft plan? Yes No

If no, please explain: _____

Did you provide comments or recommended changes to the draft plan? Yes No

Did you receive a copy of the Final Implementation Plan? Yes No

If no, please explain: _____

If applicable, do you believe your recommended changes to the draft plan were adequately addressed in the Final Implementation Plan? Yes No Not applicable


If no, please explain: _____

Overall, were the feedback, concerns, recommended goals, etc. of planning group participants adequately reflected in the Final Implementation Plan? Yes No

If no, please explain: _____

Do you have any concerns with the content of the Final Implementation Plan? Yes No

If yes, please explain: _____

Name _____
Signature  _____
Date _____

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Implementation Planning Participation

Please send back to Amanda L Shinkle at amanda.l.shinkle@doj.oregon.gov by 05/15/2026

State/Territory: Oregon

Administering Agency: Oregon Department of Justice, Crime Victim & Survivor Services

Participant Agency: Confederated Tribe of Umatilla Indian Reservation

Type of Agency: Tribal Organization

If population specific organization, please specify which population: _____

Other: _____

Annual VAWA IP Planning meetings include: 10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025. Multiple ongoing virtual and in person meetings in 2023 - 2026 regarding Community Collabora

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): _____

Did you receive notification of meeting dates at least one month in advance? ☒ Yes ☐ No

How were you notified? (check all that apply)

☒ Email ☐ In-person ☐ Phone call ☐ Website post ☐ Letter ☐ Other: _____

Were you able to participate in the meetings? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, how many meetings did you attend? ☐ All ☒ Some

Meeting format: (check all that apply) ☐ Teleconference ☒ Video conference ☐ In-person

If no, please explain: _____

During the meeting(s), were you able to freely provide input, ask questions, share concerns, and propose goals? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Did you receive a draft of the Implementation Plan *and* a list of major concerns raised during the planning process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were you given at least one month to review the draft plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were the major concerns raised during the planning process included in the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Did you provide comments or recommended changes to the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did you receive a copy of the Final Implementation Plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If no, please explain: Pending approval

If applicable, do you believe your recommended changes to the draft plan were adequately addressed in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

If no, please explain: _____

Overall, were the feedback, concerns, recommended goals, etc. of planning group participants adequately reflected in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Do you have any concerns with the content of the Final Implementation Plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please explain: _____

Name Desiree Coyote

Signature Desiree Coyote

Digitally signed by Desiree Coyote
Date: 2026.04.20 16:50:14 -0700

Date 05/15/2026

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Implementation Planning Participation

Please send back to Amanda L Shinkle at amanda.l.shinkle@doj.oregon.gov by 06/23/2026

State/Territory: Oregon

Administering Agency: Oregon Department of Justice, Crime Victim & Survivor Services

Participant Agency: Crime Victim Advocate & Trauma Recovery Services

Type of Agency: Other

If population specific organization, please specify which population: _____

Other: Survivor and Crime Victim Advocate

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): _____
Annual VAWA IP Planning meetings include 10/15/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/26/2025. January 12, 2025 meeting to provide additional advocate perspectives to Minnesota prosecutor s

Did you receive notification of meeting dates at least one month in advance? ☒ Yes ☐ No

How were you notified? (check all that apply)

☒ Email ☐ In-person ☐ Phone call ☐ Website post ☐ Letter ☐ Other: _____

Were you able to participate in the meetings? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, how many meetings did you attend? ☐ All ☒ Some

Meeting format: (check all that apply) ☐ Teleconference ☒ Video conference ☐ In-person

If no, please explain: HAD A DIRECT VIDEO CONFERENCE FOR THE MISSED MEETNG DUE TO AN EMERGENCY

During the meeting(s), were you able to freely provide input, ask questions, share concerns, and propose goals? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Did you receive a draft of the Implementation Plan *and* a list of major concerns raised during the planning process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were you given at least one month to review the draft plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were the major concerns raised during the planning process included in the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Did you provide comments or recommended changes to the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did you receive a copy of the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

If applicable, do you believe your recommended changes to the draft plan were adequately addressed in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

If no, please explain: _____

Overall, were the feedback, concerns, recommended goals, etc. of planning group participants adequately reflected in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Do you have any concerns with the content of the Final Implementation Plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please explain: _____

Name Candy Rosenberg

Signature 

Date 06/23/2026

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Implementation Planning Participation

Please send back to *Amanda L. Shinkle* at Amanda.l.shinkle@doj.oregon.gov by 6/22/26

State/Territory: Oregon _____

Administering Agency: Oregon Department of Justice, Crime Victim & Survivor Services

Participant Agency: Lane County Sheriff's Office

Type of Agency: Law Enforcement

If population specific organization, please specify which population: _____

Other: _____

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): Initial phone meeting to discuss importance of LE perspective in VAWA planning. Sheriff Wilkerson attended the 10/28/2025 Annual VAWA Implementation Planning Meeting (Sheriff Craig attended 2024 and retired May 2025, Sheriff Wilkerson was appointed June 2025).

Did you receive notification of meeting date at least one month in advance? Yes

How were you notified? (check all that apply)

☒ Email In-person ☒ Phone call Website post LetterOther: **Were you able to participate in the meeting?** Yes

If yes, how many meetings did you attend?
in June 2025.

As noted the one meeting since appointed Sheriff

Meeting format: (check all that apply)

Video conference

If no, please explain: _____

During the meeting, were you able to freely provide input,
ask questions, share concerns, and propose goals?

Yes

Did you receive a draft of the Implementation Plan *and* a list
of major concerns raised during the planning process?

Yes

If no, please explain: _____

Were you given at least one month to review the draft plan?

Yes

If no, please explain: _____

Were the major concerns raised during the planning process
included in the draft plan?

Yes

If no, please explain: _____

Did you provide comments or recommended changes to the draft plan?

No

Did you receive a copy of the Final Implementation Plan?

Yes

If no, please explain: _____

If applicable, do you believe your recommended changes to the draft plan were adequately addressed in the Final Implementation Plan? **Not applicable**

If no, please explain:

Overall, were the feedback, concerns, recommended goals, etc. of planning group participants adequately reflected in the Final Implementation Plan? **Yes**

If no, please explain: _____

Do you have any concerns with the content of the Final Implementation Plan? **No**

If yes, please explain: _____

Name Carl Wickerson

Signature C. Wickerson

Date 06/17/26

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Implementation Planning Participation

Please send back to Amanda L Shinkle at amanda.l.shinkle@doj.oregon.gov by 06/17/2026

State/Territory: Oregon

Administering Agency: Oregon Department of Justice, Crime Victim & Survivor Services

Participant Agency: Multnomah County District Attorney's Victim Assistance Program

Type of Agency: Other

If population specific organization, please specify which population: _____

Other: Victim Assistance Program in County DA Office

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): _____

Did you receive notification of meeting dates at least one month in advance? ☒ Yes ☐ No

How were you notified? (check all that apply)

☒ Email ☐ In-person ☐ Phone call ☐ Website post ☐ Letter ☐ Other: _____

Were you able to participate in the meetings? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, how many meetings did you attend? ☐ All ☒ Some

Meeting format: (check all that apply) ☐ Teleconference ☒ Video conference ☐ In-person

If no, please explain: _____

During the meeting(s), were you able to freely provide input, ask questions, share concerns, and propose goals? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Did you receive a draft of the Implementation Plan *and* a list of major concerns raised during the planning process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were you given at least one month to review the draft plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were the major concerns raised during the planning process included in the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Did you provide comments or recommended changes to the draft plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Did you receive a copy of the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

If applicable, do you believe your recommended changes to the draft plan were adequately addressed in the Final Implementation Plan?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☒ Not applicable

If no, please explain: _____

Overall, were the feedback, concerns, recommended goals, etc. of planning group participants adequately reflected in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____


Do you have any concerns with the content of the Final Implementation Plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please explain: _____

Name Emily Hyde

Signature 

Date 06/17/2026

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Implementation Planning Participation

Please send back to Amanda L Shinkle at amanda.l.shinkle@doj.oregon.gov by 05/15/2026

State/Territory: Oregon

Administering Agency: Oregon Department of Justice, Crime Victim & Survivor Services

Participant Agency: Native American Youth & Family Center

Type of Agency: Population Specific Organization

If population specific organization, please specify which population: _____

Other: _____

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): _____
Annual VAWA IP Planning meetings include: 10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025.

Did you receive notification of meeting dates at least one month in advance? ☒ Yes ☐ No

How were you notified? (check all that apply)

☒ Email ☐ In-person ☐ Phone call ☐ Website post ☐ Letter ☐ Other: _____

Were you able to participate in the meetings? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, how many meetings did you attend? ☒ All ☐ Some

Meeting format: (check all that apply) ☒ Teleconference ☐ Video conference ☐ In-person

If no, please explain: _____

During the meeting(s), were you able to freely provide input, ask questions, share concerns, and propose goals? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Did you receive a draft of the Implementation Plan *and* a list of major concerns raised during the planning process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were you given at least one month to review the draft plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were the major concerns raised during the planning process included in the draft plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If no, please explain: Minor questions about the calculation of LGBTQ as listed in the report and whether Tribal land within Oregon's boundaries was or was not a part of the report.

Did you provide comments or recommended changes to the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did you receive a copy of the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

If applicable, do you believe your recommended changes to the draft plan were adequately addressed in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

If no, please explain: Diana and I spoke several times about the requirements of the State and the report and determined that the 9 Tribes was sufficient.

Overall, were the feedback, concerns, recommended goals, etc. of planning group participants adequately reflected in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Do you have any concerns with the content of the Final Implementation Plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please explain: _____

Name Tawna Sanchez

Signature Tawna Sanchez Digitally signed by Tawna Sanchez
Date: 2026.06.01 12:55:07 -07'00'

Date 05/15/2026

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Implementation Planning Participation

Please send back to _____ at _____ by _____

State/Territory: _____

Administering Agency: _____

Participant Agency: _____

Type of Agency:

If population specific organization, please specify which population: _____

Other: _____

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): _____

Did you receive notification of meeting dates at least one month in advance? Yes No

How were you notified? (check all that apply)

Email In-person Phone call Website post Letter Other: _____

Were you able to participate in the meetings? Yes No

If yes, how many meetings did you attend? All Some

Meeting format: (check all that apply) Teleconference Video conference In-person

If no, please explain: _____

During the meeting(s), were you able to freely provide input, ask questions, share concerns, and propose goals? Yes No Partially

Did you receive a draft of the Implementation Plan *and* a list of major concerns raised during the planning process? Yes No

If no, please explain: _____

Were you given at least one month to review the draft plan? Yes No

If no, please explain: _____

Were the major concerns raised during the planning process included in the draft plan?	Yes	No
---	-----	----

If no, please explain: _____

Did you provide comments or recommended changes to the draft plan?	Yes	No
---	-----	----

Did you receive a copy of the Final Implementation Plan?	Yes	No
---	-----	----

If no, please explain: _____

If applicable, do you believe your recommended changes to the draft plan were adequately addressed in the Final Implementation Plan?	Yes	No	Not applicable
---	-----	----	----------------

If no, please explain: _____

Overall, were the feedback, concerns, recommended goals, etc. of planning group participants adequately reflected in the Final Implementation Plan?	Yes	No
--	-----	----

If no, please explain: _____

Do you have any concerns with the content of the Final Implementation Plan?	Yes	No
--	-----	----

If yes, please explain: _____

Name _____

Signature _____

Date _____

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Implementation Planning Participation

Please send back to Amanda L Shinkle at amanda.l.shinkle@doj.oregon.gov by 05/15/2026

State/Territory: Oregon

Administering Agency: Oregon Department of Justice, Crime Victim & Survivor Services

Participant Agency: Oregon Department of Human Services

Type of Agency: Other State Agency

If population specific organization, please specify which population: _____

Other: _____

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): _____
Annual VAWA IP Planning meetings include: 10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025 (didn't attend, rescheduled 11/18/25 didn't attend, materials provided electronically). Community

Did you receive notification of meeting dates at least one month in advance? ☒ Yes ☐ No

How were you notified? (check all that apply)

☒ Email ☐ In-person ☐ Phone call ☐ Website post ☐ Letter ☐ Other: _____

Were you able to participate in the meetings? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, how many meetings did you attend? ☐ All ☒ Some

Meeting format: (check all that apply) ☐ Teleconference ☒ Video conference ☐ In-person

If no, please explain: _____

During the meeting(s), were you able to freely provide input, ask questions, share concerns, and propose goals? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Did you receive a draft of the Implementation Plan *and* a list of major concerns raised during the planning process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were you given at least one month to review the draft plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were the major concerns raised during the planning process included in the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Did you provide comments or recommended changes to the draft plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

Did you receive a copy of the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

If applicable, do you believe your recommended changes to the draft plan were adequately addressed in the Final Implementation Plan?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☒ Not applicable

If no, please explain: _____

Overall, were the feedback, concerns, recommended goals, etc. of planning group participants adequately reflected in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Do you have any concerns with the content of the Final Implementation Plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please explain: _____

Name Jenny Woodson

Signature Jenny Woodson

Digitally signed by Jenny Woodson
Date: 2026.06.11 09:16:34 -07'00'

Date 05/15/2026

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Implementation Planning Participation

Please send back to Amanda L Shinkle at amanda.l.shinkle@doj.oregon.gov by 05/15/2026

State/Territory: Oregon

Administering Agency: Oregon Department of Justice, Crime Victim & Survivor Services

Participant Agency: Oregon Department of Justice, Crime Victim & Survivor Services

Type of Agency: Other

If population specific organization, please specify which population: _____

ODOJ CVSSD is the state agency that administers both federal VOCA and STOP VAWA Formula Grant Funds
Other: _____

VAWA IP meetings include: 10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025. May 20, 2025 Community Collaboration Meeting (in person, Tribal Hosted, Harney County). Additionally, multiple
Planning Team Meeting Date(s): _____

Did you receive notification of meeting dates at least one month in advance? ☒ Yes ☐ No

How were you notified? (check all that apply)

☒ Email ☐ In-person ☐ Phone call ☐ Website post ☐ Letter ☐ Other: _____

Were you able to participate in the meetings? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, how many meetings did you attend? ☐ All ☐ Some

Meeting format: (check all that apply) ☐ Teleconference ☒ Video conference ☐ In-person

If no, please explain: _____

During the meeting(s), were you able to freely provide input, ask questions, share concerns, and propose goals? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Did you receive a draft of the Implementation Plan *and* a list of major concerns raised during the planning process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were you given at least one month to review the draft plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were the major concerns raised during the planning process included in the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Did you provide comments or recommended changes to the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did you receive a copy of the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

If applicable, do you believe your recommended changes to the draft plan were adequately addressed in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

If no, please explain: _____

Overall, were the feedback, concerns, recommended goals, etc. of planning group participants adequately reflected in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Do you have any concerns with the content of the Final Implementation Plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please explain: _____

Name Marjorie Glick (ODOJ CVSSD VOCA Fund Coordinator)

Signature Marjorie Glick

Digitally signed by Marjorie Glick
DN: cn = Marjorie Glick email = Marjorie.Glick@odj.oregon.gov C = US OU = ORE
OU = CVSSD
Date: 2025.05.20 15:28:44 -0700

Date 05/15/2026

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Implementation Planning Participation

Please send back to Amanda L Shinkle at amanda.l.vantil@doj.oregon.gov by 06/23/2026

State/Territory: Oregon

Administering Agency: Oregon Department of Justice, Crime Victim & Survivor Services

Participant Agency: Oregon Judicial Department

Type of Agency: Courts

If population specific organization, please specify which population: _____

Other: _____

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): _____

Annual VAWA Implementation Planning Meeting 10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025. Multiple email exchanges and phone conversations regarding Early FAPA notification proj

Did you receive notification of meeting dates at least one month in advance? ☒ Yes ☐ No

How were you notified? (check all that apply)

☒ Email ☐ In-person ☐ Phone call ☐ Website post ☐ Letter ☐ Other: _____

Were you able to participate in the meetings? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, how many meetings did you attend? ☒ All ☐ Some

Meeting format: (check all that apply) ☐ Teleconference ☒ Video conference ☐ In-person

If no, please explain: _____

During the meeting(s), were you able to freely provide input, ask questions, share concerns, and propose goals? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Did you receive a draft of the Implementation Plan *and* a list of major concerns raised during the planning process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were you given at least one month to review the draft plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were the major concerns raised during the planning process included in the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Did you provide comments or recommended changes to the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did you receive a copy of the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

If applicable, do you believe your recommended changes to the draft plan were adequately addressed in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

If no, please explain: _____

Overall, were the feedback, concerns, recommended goals, etc. of planning group participants adequately reflected in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Do you have any concerns with the content of the Final Implementation Plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please explain: _____

Name Amy Benedum

Signature Amy E. Benedum Digitally signed by Amy E. Benedum
Date: 2026.06.23 19:13:40 -07'00'

Date 06/23/2026

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Implementation Planning Participation

Please send back to Amanda L Shinkle at amanda.l.shinkle@doj.oregon.gov by 6/18/2026

State/Territory: Oregon

Administering Agency: Oregon Department of Justice, Crime Victim & Survivor Services

Participant Agency: Oregon Law Center

Type of Agency: Other

If population specific organization, please specify which population: _____

Other: Organization provides free civil legal services and help for low income communities of Oregon

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): _____
Annual VAWA IP Planning meetings include: 10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025 (invited, didn't attend, attended rescheduled meeting on 11/8/2025). Multiple email exchanges a

Did you receive notification of meeting dates at least one month in advance? ☒ Yes ☐ No

How were you notified? (check all that apply)

☒ Email ☐ In-person ☐ Phone call ☐ Website post ☐ Letter ☐ Other: _____

Were you able to participate in the meetings? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, how many meetings did you attend? ☐ All ☐ Some

Meeting format: (check all that apply) ☐ Teleconference ☒ Video conference ☐ In-person

If no, please explain: _____

During the meeting(s), were you able to freely provide input, ask questions, share concerns, and propose goals? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Did you receive a draft of the Implementation Plan *and* a list of major concerns raised during the planning process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were you given at least one month to review the draft plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were the major concerns raised during the planning process included in the draft plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If no, please explain: _____

Did you provide comments or recommended changes to the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did you receive a copy of the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

If applicable, do you believe your recommended changes to the draft plan were adequately addressed in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

If no, please explain: _____

Overall, were the feedback, concerns, recommended goals, etc. of planning group participants adequately reflected in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Do you have any concerns with the content of the Final Implementation Plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please explain: _____

Name Debra Dority

Signature Debra Dority

Digitally signed by Debra Dority
Date: 2026.06.18 10:33:02 -0700

Date 6/18/2026

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Implementation Planning Participation

Please send back to Amanda L Shinkle at amanda.l.shinkle@doj.oregon.gov by 06/22/2026

State/Territory: Oregon

Administering Agency: Oregon Department of Justice, Crime Victim & Survivor Services

Participant Agency: Oregon Sexual Assault Task Force

Type of Agency: Other

If population specific organization, please specify which population: _____

Other: Sexual Assault Training Institute, NonProfit

Annual VAWA IP Planning meetings include: 10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025. Multiple email exchanges/phone conversations regarding statewide training and IP goals/objectives.

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): _____

Did you receive notification of meeting dates at least one month in advance? ☒ Yes ☐ No

How were you notified? (check all that apply)

☒ Email ☐ In-person ☐ Phone call ☐ Website post ☐ Letter ☐ Other: _____

Were you able to participate in the meetings? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, how many meetings did you attend? ☒ All ☐ Some

Meeting format: (check all that apply) ☐ Teleconference ☒ Video conference ☐ In-person

If no, please explain: _____

During the meeting(s), were you able to freely provide input, ask questions, share concerns, and propose goals? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Did you receive a draft of the Implementation Plan *and* a list of major concerns raised during the planning process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were you given at least one month to review the draft plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were the major concerns raised during the planning process included in the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Did you provide comments or recommended changes to the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did you receive a copy of the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

If applicable, do you believe your recommended changes to the draft plan were adequately addressed in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

If no, please explain: _____

Overall, were the feedback, concerns, recommended goals, etc. of planning group participants adequately reflected in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Do you have any concerns with the content of the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please explain: _____

Name Shannon Rose

Signature a98e9536-9db2-4b60-8e36-492e1b298ed5

Date 06/22/2026

Digitally signed by
a98e9536-9db2-4b60-8e36-492e1b298ed5
Date: 2026.06.22 17:00:01 -07'00'

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Implementation Planning Participation

Please send back to Amanda L Shinkle at amanda.l.shinkle@doj.oregon.gov by 05/15/2026

State/Territory: Oregon

Administering Agency: Oregon Department of Justice, Crime Victim & Survivor Services

Participant Agency: Peace at Home

Type of Agency: Other

If population specific organization, please specify which population: _____

Other: Non profit Domestic/Sexual Violence Organization in Douglas County

Annual VAWA IP planning meeting 10/26/2023, 10/22/2024 and 10/28/2025; May 7, 2025 Community Collaboration Meeting (in person, tribal hosted, Douglas County).

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): _____

Did you receive notification of meeting dates at least one month in advance? ☒ Yes ☐ No

How were you notified? (check all that apply)

☒ Email ☐ In-person ☒ Phone call ☐ Website post ☐ Letter ☐ Other: _____

Were you able to participate in the meetings? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, how many meetings did you attend? ☒ All ☐ Some

Meeting format: (check all that apply) ☐ Teleconference ☒ Video conference ☐ In-person

If no, please explain: _____

During the meeting(s), were you able to freely provide input, ask questions, share concerns, and propose goals? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Did you receive a draft of the Implementation Plan *and* a list of major concerns raised during the planning process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were you given at least one month to review the draft plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were the major concerns raised during the planning process included in the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: To my knowledge, all concerns were responded to through collaborative conversation.

Did you provide comments or recommended changes to the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did you receive a copy of the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

If applicable, do you believe your recommended changes to the draft plan were adequately addressed in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

If no, please explain: _____

Overall, were the feedback, concerns, recommended goals, etc. of planning group participants adequately reflected in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Do you have any concerns with the content of the Final Implementation Plan?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, please explain: _____

Name Melanie Prummer

Signature Melanie M. Taylor Prummer Digitally signed by Melanie M. Taylor Prummer
Date: 2026.06.16 12:01:59 -0700

Date 05/15/2026

STOP Violence Against Women Formula Grant Program Implementation Planning Participation

Please send back to Amanda L Shinkle at amanda.l.shinkle@doj.oregon.gov by 05/08/2026

State/Territory: Oregon

Administering Agency: Oregon Department of Justice, Crime Victim & Survivor Services

Participant Agency: Oregon Department of Justice, Criminal Division

Type of Agency: Prosecution

If population specific organization, please specify which population: _____

Other: _____

Planning Team Meeting Date(s): _____

Annual VAWA IP Planning meetings include: 10/26/2023, 10/22/2024, and 10/28/2025. 3/31/26 (2 hours) DV Homicide and Fatality Review Planning Session. Multiple ongoing discus

Did you receive notification of meeting dates at least one month in advance? ☒ Yes ☐ No

How were you notified? (check all that apply)

☒ Email ☐ In-person ☒ Phone call ☐ Website post ☐ Letter ☐ Other: _____

Were you able to participate in the meetings? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If yes, how many meetings did you attend? ☒ All ☐ Some

Meeting format: (check all that apply) ☐ Teleconference ☒ Video conference ☐ In-person

If no, please explain: _____

During the meeting(s), were you able to freely provide input, ask questions, share concerns, and propose goals? ☒ Yes ☐ No ☐ Partially

Did you receive a draft of the Implementation Plan *and* a list of major concerns raised during the planning process? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were you given at least one month to review the draft plan? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Were the major concerns raised during the planning process included in the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Did you provide comments or recommended changes to the draft plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Did you receive a copy of the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

If applicable, do you believe your recommended changes to the draft plan were adequately addressed in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Not applicable

If no, please explain: _____

Overall, were the feedback, concerns, recommended goals, etc. of planning group participants adequately reflected in the Final Implementation Plan?

☒ Yes

☐ No

If no, please explain: _____

Do you have any concerns with the content of the Final Implementation Plan?

☐ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please explain: _____

Name Sarah Sahni

Signature _____

Date 06/23/2026

Appendix D Tribal Nations Leadership and Community Meetings 2023-2025

Tribal Leadership Meetings 2023

Oregon Tribal Nation	Leadership Meeting Date
Confederated Tribe of Grand Ronde	February 21, 2023
Confederated Tribe of Siletz Indians	April 14, 2023
Klamath Tribes	July 17, 2023
Cow Creek Band of Umpqua Indians	September 20, 2023
Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua & Siuslaw Indians	September 21, 2023
Coquille Tribe	September 22, 2023
Burns Paiute Tribe	October 3 – 4, 2023
Confederated Tribe of Warm Springs Indian Reservation	October 5 – 6, 2023
Confederated Tribes of Umatilla Indian Reservation	November 2, 2023

Community Collaboration Meetings and Partners in Oregon Counties	Tribal Nation Host on Tribal Land	Meeting Date
Multnomah, Washington and Clackamas Counties	Meeting hosted by Oregon DOJ, CVSSD with multiple tribes working with partners in Portland area.	September 23, 2024
Marion, Polk and Yamhill Counties	Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde	October 7, 2024
Coos and Lane Counties	Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua & Siuslaw Indians	November 5, 2024
Lincoln, Linn and Benton Counties	Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians	November 6, 2024
Klamath and Lake Counties	Klamath Tribe	May 6, 2025
Josephine, Jackson and Douglas Counties	Cow Creek Band of Indians	May 7, 2025
Harney and Malheur Counties	Burns Paiute Tribe	May 20, 2025
Jefferson, Wasco, and Hood River Counties	Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs Indian Reservation	May 21, 2025
Umatilla, Union and Wallowa Counties	Confederated Tribes of Umatilla Indian Reservation	June 3, 2025

Appendix E Documentation of Collaboration Letters for Prosecution, Law Enforcement, Courts and Victim Services

- DOC letter – Prosecution
- DOC letter – Law Enforcement
- DOC letter – Courts
- DOC letter – Victim Services



John D. Wentworth, Clackamas County District Attorney

1000 Courthouse Road, Oregon City, Oregon 97045
P: 503.655.8431 | F: 503.650.8943 | districtattorney@clackamas.us

May 20, 2026

Ginger Baran Lyons, Deputy Director for Grants
Development and Management (Supervisory Official)
Office on Violence Against Women
U.S. Department of Justice
145 N Street NE, Suite 10W.121
Washington, DC 20530

Dear Deputy Director Lyons:

I am writing as a representative of a *Prosecution* program, who serves on the state's STOP planning team, and serve as the President of the Oregon District Attorney's Association (ODAA) for the 2025 – 2026 term to provide information associated with the Oregon FY 2026 application for STOP Formula Grant funds. What follows is a description of the need for *Prosecution* funds, the intended use of the grant funds for this allocation, the expected results of the use of *Prosecution* grant funds, and demographic characteristics of the populations to be served with *Prosecution* funds.

Need for the Grant Funds

We have identified multiple needs in Oregon related to domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence and/or stalking, and the ability of *Prosecution* to address the safety needs of victims and improve offender accountability. Specifically, the STOP Grant funds will:

- Support specialized prosecutors and investigators handling domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, and stalking.
- Provide victim services, after-hours crisis response, and expanded outreach to underserved, and rural communities.
- Strengthen multidisciplinary collaboration with county teams, work groups, and statewide partners to improve coordinated responses and review domestic violence fatalities.
- Support joint prosecutor–law enforcement training in counties and build best-practice capacity through training on response to domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, dating violence and strangulation.
- Deliver statewide training for prosecutors, law enforcement, and system partners on domestic violence dynamics, trial skills, case law, expert testimony, stalking response, and Forensic Experiential Trauma Interviewing (FETI). Provide outreach and training to law enforcement, prosecutors and system partners in rural, frontier and tribal communities.

- Develop best practices and standards that require a consistent level of training and community collaboration between law enforcement, prosecution, advocacy, and partner agencies that can be duplicated and implemented in an additional jurisdiction.
- Review statewide data on domestic violence fatalities to identify trends and systemic gaps and make recommendations to ultimately reduce DV-related homicides.

Intended Use of Grant Funds and Expected Results

The following chart summarizes the intended use of STOP Grant funds by Jefferson County District Attorney (DA) office, Lane County DA office, Marion County DA office, Multnomah County DA office, Oregon Sexual Assault Taskforce, and Oregon Criminal Justice Division/ the Domestic Violence Resource Prosecutor. Per 2024 VAWA Impact reports, these projects accepted a total of 1,209 total domestic violence/dating violence, sexual assault or stalking case referrals for prosecution (and 1,532 cases were disposed of). These projects will be receiving prosecution allocation from January 1, 2026- December 31, 2026. The continuation subgrants have one year project periods and are dispersed from 2023 and 2024 federal year STOP awards.

- A total of \$628,476.99 of the 2023 STOP award, of which \$282,908.73 is being used to support prosecution allocation.
- A total of \$548,901.34 of the 2024 STOP award, of which \$335,983.88 is being used to support prosecution allocation.

CVSSD intends to use unallocated 2024 and 2025 STOP funds to support a new 2-year solicitation from January 1, 2027 – December 31, 2028. Once 2026 STOP funds have been released, monies may support a third continuation year. Additional unallocated 2023 STOP funds may support a targeted competitive solicitation for regional training projects. All grants will align to the goals in the 2026 – 2029 Implementation Plan.

Intended Use of Funds	Expected Results
Support a specialized prosecution team that includes a prosecutor, a partially funded victims assistant and grant coordinator that will handle DV, SA, dating violence, and stalking cases. (Jefferson County District Attorney's Office)	Coordinate the Strangulation Kit Training and provide related instruction to criminal justice personnel within the local district attorney's office. Support a prosecutor that will handle DV, SA, dating violence and stalking cases that increase victim safety and holds offenders accountable for their crimes. Support a bilingual victim advocate who will deliver services to victims, coordinate cases with prosecutors, and connect individuals directly to community advocacy programs. The after-hours emergency victim response program will offer safety planning and emergency services, with a particular focus on

	rural and other underserved victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, and stalking in the county.
Support the funding for a Domestic Violence Investigator and support the funding for a SA prosecutor and a DV prosecutor within a county District Attorney Office. (Lane County District Attorney's Office).	Increase the safety of, and advocacy for, victims of domestic violence and sexual assault in both urban and rural areas of the county. Strengthen prosecution of domestic violence and sexual assault cases and enhance the criminal justice system's overall response to violence against women. Conduct follow-up investigations that support prosecution efforts and prevent defendants from violating no-contact orders or reaching out to victims. Serve university students (including teens and international students) by advising them on the investigative process, outlining available options, and coordinating investigations with both local and university law enforcement agencies. Provide training to law enforcement and partner agencies to improve the identification of and response to domestic violence and sexual assault. Offer specialized training on stalking to address gaps in knowledge and enhance services for individuals experiencing stalking.
Fund positions for a Family Violence Services Coordinator and an Underserved Communities Outreach Coordinator within a county District Attorney office. (Marion County District Attorney's Office)	Participate in county multidisciplinary teams, work groups, and committees to improve services for victims of domestic violence through coordinated collaboration with partner agencies. Strengthen and expand victim advocacy and safety by working closely with the Victim Assistance Division and by training new advocates on best practices, including identifying individual needs and barriers to services. Increase community outreach to victims from

	underserved communities. The project is expected to serve more than 835 victims and will provide comprehensive services to individuals experiencing domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, and strangulation.
Assist in funding a Deputy District Attorney at a Domestic Violence Unit in county District Attorney office. (Multnomah County District Attorney's Office)	Increase prosecution of domestic violence crimes against underserved and population specific communities. VAWA Deputy District Attorney will assist through referrals, case consultation, investigative assistance, case review, and prosecution of specialized cases. Improves coordination through annual trainings and quarterly meetings with law enforcement and other community partners. Non-Custody DV cases are screened for lethality for case referrals.
Fund a Sexual Assault Training Institute Coordinator (Oregon SATF and Sexual Assault Training Institute or SATI)	Provide multiple 2.5-day joint prosecutor (and law enforcement) training courses in coastal, rural and frontier counties to strengthen coordinated community responses and improve the criminal justice system's handling of sexual assault cases. These trainings will strengthen how prosecutors utilize the evidence to prosecute offenses (and how law enforcement investigates SA cases) for a collaborative, victim centered approach. Provide technical assistance to local Sexual Assault Response Teams (SARTS). This improves the criminal justice system's ability to respond to victims of sexual assault. This will provide a multidisciplinary perspective to effective and collaborative sexual assault response, tailored to the unique needs and dynamics of the specific community.
Support statewide training for prosecutors (and law enforcement and system partners) and a statewide multidisciplinary DV fatality review team by the Domestic Violence Resource Prosecutor (DVRP), Oregon Department of Justice Project costs include contract services for	DVRP will provide multiple free statewide domestic violence trainings related to improving prosecution of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, dating violence and strangulation. These trainings include DV Day for 60 prosecutors, DV Academy for 35 prosecutors and Expert Witness training for 20 prosecutors and possible experts.

FETI trainer and other expert trainers for prosecution specific training and participants mileage and lodging. Additionally, match funds for the DVRP are included.

Train prosecutors to understand the dynamics of domestic violence and to enhance victim safety and offender accountability through effective prosecution practices. Improve trial skills, knowledge of case law, and the ability to identify and implement emerging best practices. Provide training to prosecutors and system partners on the effective use of expert witness testimony to strengthen prosecution outcomes.

DVRP provides training on best practices around implementing model firearm protocols to decrease firearm access by DV perpetrators and increased training around access to protection orders (including foreign protection orders) and proper enforcement.

DVRP provides regional training to law enforcement officers around the state to address investigative issues and gaps that will improve the system response to domestic violence and strengthen the viability of prosecutions that follow initial investigations.

Facilitate training for prosecutors, law enforcement officers, and other criminal justice professionals on conducting Forensic Experiential Trauma Interviews (FETI), particularly as applied to sexual assault investigations. This training continues the statewide approach to conducting trauma-informed interviews with 140 criminal justice partners in 29 of 36 Oregon counties trained last year.

This grant also supports the redevelopment of a statewide multidisciplinary team to review domestic violence fatalities, identify statewide trends and systemic gaps impacting victim safety and offender accountability, and make recommendations for action to take on statewide issues for system improvements.

Demographic Characteristics of the Populations to be Served with *Prosecution Funds*

The exact number or demographic characteristics of individuals that will be served with STOP funding in 2027 is unknown. The following chart provides the demographic characteristics of the State/Territory that is currently served with STOP funds:

Demographic Factor Oregon Population Total = 4,272,371 ¹	% of Total Oregon Population	Estimated Base
Under 18	19.3% ²	824,568
Between 18-60 Years	56.10% ³	2,396,800
60+ Years	19.9% ⁴	850,202
All Disabilities	11.10%	474,233
Black or African American	2.5% ⁵	106,809
Hispanic or Latino	15.5% ⁶	662,218
White, Not Hispanic or Latino	71.8% ⁷	3,067,562
Asian	5.5% ⁸	234,980
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	.5% ⁹	21,362
American Indian and Alaskan Native	2.1% ¹⁰	89,720
Two or More Races	4.5% ¹¹	192,257
Other	12.6% ¹²	538,319
LGBTQ	9.3% ¹³	397,331
Female	50.2% ¹⁴	2,145,340

¹ US Census [DP05 2024: ACS 1-Year Estimates Data Profiles](#)

² US Census Quick Facts 2024

³ [ACS S1810 Disability Characteristics, 1-Year Estimates](#)

⁴ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁵ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁶ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁷ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁸ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁹ US Census Quick Facts 2024

¹⁰ US Census Quick Facts 2024

¹¹ US Census Quick Facts 2024

¹² US Census [DP05 2024: ACS 1-Year Estimates Data Profiles](#)

¹³ Gallup, <https://news.gallup.com/poll/656708/lgbtq-identification-rises.aspx>

¹⁴ US Census Quick Facts 2024

Demographic Factor Oregon Population Total = 4,272,371 ¹	% of Total Oregon Population	Estimated Base
Speak only English	84.6% ¹⁵	3,614,426
Speak a Language Other than English	15.4% ¹⁶	657,945
Spanish	9.2% ¹⁷	384,513
Other Languages (Indo-European, Asian and Pacific Island, Other combined)	2.50% ¹⁸	106,809

The information outlined above demonstrates the critical need for continued STOP Grant funding to support prosecution efforts across Oregon. These funds enable state and local jurisdictions to strengthen coordinated community responses, expand specialized prosecution, enhance victim services, and deliver essential training to criminal justice partners. The positions and programs supported by these grant funds are vital to improving victim safety, increasing offender accountability, and addressing service gaps in both rural and urban communities. Sustained funding ensures that Oregon can continue building effective, trauma-informed, and culturally responsive systems and identifying systemic gaps and barriers, to meet the needs of survivors and support safer, more resilient communities.

Sincerely,



John D. Wentworth
Clackamas County District Attorney



¹⁵ [ACS S1601 Language Spoken at Home, 2024 1-Year Estimate](#)

¹⁶ [ACS S1601 Language Spoken at Home, 2024 1-Year Estimate](#)

¹⁷ [ACS S1601 Language Spoken at Home, 2024 1-Year Estimate](#)

¹⁸ [ACS S1601 Language Spoken at Home, 2024 1-Year Estimate](#)



**CONFEDERATED TRIBES OF
COOS, LOWER UMPQUA AND SIUSLAW INDIANS
POLICE DEPARTMENT**

**5647 Hwy. 126, Suite 100 ♦ Florence, Oregon 97439
Telephone: (541) 997-6011 ♦ Fax: (541) 902-6507**

May 5, 2026

Ginger Baran Lyons, Deputy Director for Grants Development and Management (Supervisory Official)
Office on Violence Against Women
U.S. Department of Justice
145 N Street NE, Suite 10W.121
Washington, DC 20530

Dear Deputy Director Lyons:

I am writing as a representative of a *Law Enforcement* program, who serves on the state's STOP planning team, to provide information associated with the Oregon FY 2026 application for STOP Formula Grant funds. What follows is a description of the need for *Law Enforcement* funds, the intended use of the grant funds for this allocation, the expected results of the use of *Law Enforcement* grant funds, and demographic characteristics of the populations to be served with *Law Enforcement* funds.

Need for the Grant Funds

We have identified multiple needs in Oregon related to domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and/or stalking, and the ability of *Law Enforcement* to address the safety needs of victims and accountability of offenders. Specifically, the STOP Grant funds will:

- Help Oregon create greater system goals around coordinated community response, trauma informed law enforcement response, expanded training for law enforcement (including trauma-informed responses, and improved investigations) in response to domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking and strangulation cases.
- Improve crisis intervention, safety planning, and connection to victims' rights information and local resources, including lethality assessment protocols, and firearms surrender at the scene of domestic violence incidents.
- Develop a uniform law enforcement strangulation supplement and coordinated county-wide strangulation response protocol which includes local, county, state and tribal law enforcement.
- Enhance and sustain Domestic Violence Response Teams (DVRTs) to maintain consistent system response.
- Develop best-practices and standards that require a consistent level of training and community collaboration between law enforcement, prosecution, advocacy, and partner agencies that can be duplicated and implemented in additional jurisdictions.

Intended Use of Grant Funds and Expected Results

The following chart summarizes the intended use of STOP Grant funds by Salem Police Department, City of Hillsboro and two statewide training grants for Oregon Department of Justice (DOJ), Domestic Violence Resource Prosecutor and the Oregon SA Task Force that will be receiving the law enforcement allocation from January 1, 2026 – December 31, 2026. The continuation subgrants have one year project periods and are dispersed from 2023 and 2024 federal year STOP awards.

- A total of \$628,476.99 of the 2023 STOP award, of which \$323,254.96 is being used to support law enforcement allocation.
- A total of \$548,901.34 of the 2024 STOP award, of which \$109,705.86 is being used to support law enforcement allocation.

CVSSD intends to use unallocated 2024 and 2025 STOP funds to support a new 2-year solicitation from January 1, 2027 – December 31, 2028. Once 2026 STOP funds have been released, monies may support a third continuation year.

Intended Use of Funds	Expected Results
Support the funding of a DV Response Team Coordinator and volunteer hours (Salem Police Department)	Assist victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking at the time of police contact and offender arrest. Offer 24-hour on-scene response to provide 475 victims with information including on victims' rights, offender arrest/release procedures, the criminal justice system, crisis intervention, safety planning, problem solving, and providing emotional support and links to local resources. Increase the number of victims served by 80 through expanded outreach for a total of 555 victims served. Expected outcomes include providing 100% of victims served with at least one safety-enhancement strategy, achieving a prosecution rate of 75% or higher, and successfully completing follow-up contact in at least 50% of cases.
Support staff on the DV Response Team, Staff a Jessica Gonzales Victim Assistant at a city police department in Washington County along with volunteer hours for 24/7 on call response with law enforcement (Hillsboro Police Department)	Enhance, strengthen, and sustain the services of the Domestic Violence Response Team (DVRT) to better support victims of domestic violence and sexual assault. Personal and professional development of staff to increase DVRT's capacity to respond to officers and expand on-scene response to assist victims. Develop a uniform domestic violence strangulation supplement for law enforcement, along with a coordinated protocol for all county systems responding to strangulation cases. 23 law enforcement officers and detectives will receive

	training to improve effective response through the criminal process. To strengthen current domestic violence and sexual assault services while increasing access for all victims. Using APRAIS, an evidence based risk assessment tool, to score all victims seeking services.
Fund a Sexual Assault Training Institute Coordinator (Oregon SATF and Sexual Assault Training Institute or SATI)	Provide multiple 2.5-day joint law enforcement (and prosecutor) training courses that prioritize training in coastal, rural and frontier communities and hold training in geographically strategic areas to facilitate broader participation. This approach strengthens coordinated community responses and improves the criminal justice system's handling of sexual assault cases. In 2026, five trainings for 100 law enforcement and 63 prosecutors. These trainings will strengthen how law enforcement investigates SA cases (and how prosecutors utilize the evidence to prosecute offenses) and teach a collaborative, victim centered approach. Provide statewide technical assistance and training to Sexual Assault Response Teams (SARTs). This improves the criminal justice system's ability to respond to victims of sexual assault. This will provide a multidisciplinary perspective to effective and collaborative sexual assault response, tailored to the unique needs and dynamics of the specific community.
Support the Domestic Violence Resource Prosecutor (DVRP) project for statewide Forensic Experiential Trauma Interviews (FETI) training and other DV Training for law enforcement. Project costs include contract services for FETI trainer and training costs cover for participants mileage and lodging.	Facilitate training for 30 law enforcement officers and other criminal justice professionals on conducting Forensic Experiential Trauma Interviews (FETI), particularly as applied to sexual assault investigations. This training continues the statewide approach to conducting trauma-informed interviews with 140 criminal justice partners in 29 of 36 Oregon counties trained last year that primarily included law enforcement officers (102). Provide outreach and training to law enforcement on understanding domestic violence dynamics and implementing trauma-informed investigative practices, with particular attention to responses involving domestic violence, stalking, and strangulation. Provide training to encourage the use of lethality assessment tools and firearms surrender procedures. DVRP provides regional training for law enforcement officers to strengthen and improve initial law enforcement investigations statewide to better support prosecutorial efforts and reduce the likelihood of victim

	re-traumatization. This includes increased training around access to protection orders (including foreign protection orders) and proper enforcement. This grant also includes: a) the review of domestic violence fatalities with the DVRP to review cases twice a year on a statewide interdisciplinary team that identifies DV trends and makes recommendations for actions to take on statewide issues for system improvements. b) DVRP provides training on best practices around implementing model firearm protocols to decrease firearm access by DV perpetrators.
--	---

Demographic Characteristics of the Populations to be Served with *Law Enforcement Funds*

In 2024, law enforcement agencies, serving all 36 Oregon counties, reported 42,890 person crimes (including other sex offenses and 1,848 Rape offenses) resulting in 19,490 arrests. Included in these numbers are 345 arrests for other sex offenses and 188 arrests for Rape.

The following chart provides the demographic characteristics of the State/Territory to be served with STOP funds:

Demographic Factor Oregon Population Total = 4,272,371 ¹	% of Total Oregon Population	Estimated Base
Under 18	19.3% ²	824,568
Between 18-60 Years	56.10% ³	2,396,800
60+ Years	19.9% ⁴	850,202
All Disabilities	11.10%	474,233
Black or African American	2.5% ⁵	106,809
Hispanic or Latino	15.5% ⁶	662,218
White, Not Hispanic or Latino	71.8% ⁷	3,067,562

¹ US Census [DP05 2024: ACS 1-Year Estimates Data Profiles](#)

² US Census Quick Facts 2024

³ [ACS S1810 Disability Characteristics, 1-Year Estimates](#)

⁴ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁵ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁶ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁷ US Census Quick Facts 2024

Demographic Factor Oregon Population Total = 4,272,371 ¹	% of Total Oregon Population	Estimated Base
Asian	5.5% ⁸	234,980
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	.5% ⁹	21,362
American Indian and Alaskan Native	2.1% ¹⁰	89,720
Two or More Races	4.5% ¹¹	192,257
Other	12.6% ¹²	538,319
LGBTQ	9.3% ¹³	397,331
Female	50.2% ¹⁴	2,145,340
Speak only English	84.6% ¹⁵	3,614,426
Speak a Language Other than English	15.4% ¹⁶	657,945
Spanish	9.2% ¹⁷	384,513
Other Languages (Indo-European, Asian and Pacific Island, Other combined)	2.50% ¹⁸	106,809

The information outlined above demonstrates a clear and ongoing need for STOP Grant funding to strengthen Oregon's coordinated response to domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, and stalking. Law enforcement agencies and statewide partners continue to face significant demands for trauma-informed training, improved investigative capacity, specialized personnel, and consistent, victim-centered response protocols. These funds are critical for supporting on-scene victim services, enhancing investigative follow-through, expanding outreach to underserved communities, and building the infrastructure necessary for effective cross-system collaboration. Continued STOP funding allows both state and local agencies to maintain essential positions, implement best-practice protocols, and ensure victims across Oregon receive timely, knowledgeable, and compassionate responses that promote safety and accountability.

⁸ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁹ US Census Quick Facts 2024

¹⁰ US Census Quick Facts 2024

¹¹ US Census Quick Facts 2024

¹² US Census [DP05 2024: ACS 1-Year Estimates Data Profiles](#)

¹³ Gallup, <https://news.gallup.com/poll/656708/lgbtq-identification-rises.aspx>

¹⁴ US Census Quick Facts 2024

¹⁵ [ACS S1601 Language Spoken at Home, 2024 1-Year Estimate](#)

¹⁶ [ACS S1601 Language Spoken at Home, 2024 1-Year Estimate](#)

¹⁷ [ACS S1601 Language Spoken at Home, 2024 1-Year Estimate](#)

¹⁸ [ACS S1601 Language Spoken at Home, 2024 1-Year Estimate](#)

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Brian Dubray". The signature is stylized with a large, sweeping "B" and a long, horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Chief Brian Dubray, Police Chief
Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua & Suislaw Indians (CTCLUSI)



OREGON JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
Office of the State Court Administrator

May 22, 2026

Ginger Baran Lyons, Deputy Director for Grants Development and Management (Supervisory Official)

Office on Violence Against Women
U.S. Department of Justice
145 N Street NE, Suite 10W.121
Washington, DC 20530

Dear Deputy Director Lyons:

I am writing as a representative of the statewide Court Programs Division with the Oregon Judicial Department (OJD), who serves on the state's STOP planning team, to provide information associated with the Oregon FY 2026 application for STOP Formula Grant funds. What follows is a description of the need for *Court* funds, the intended use of the grant funds for this allocation, the expected results of the use of *Court* grant funds, and demographic characteristics of the populations to be served with *Court* funds.

Need for the Grant Funds

We have identified multiple needs in Oregon related to domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and/or stalking, and the ability of the *Court* to address the safety needs of victims and accountability of offenders. These are:

- STOP funding supports a central point of contact within the State Court Administrators Office with the Judicial Department that serves trial courts statewide, judges, and other state agencies and community stakeholders. This is an OVW best practice.
- STOP funding supports essential DVSA training and education for judges and court staff; and
- STOP funding supports new court initiatives and projects related to public safety and offender accountability, such as an integrated domestic violence court, implementing statewide firearm dispossession protocols, and evaluating pretrial release decision making when domestic violence is present.

Intended Use of Grant Funds and Expected Results

The following chart summarizes the intended use of STOP Grant funds by OJD. This program will be receiving the court allocation from January 1, 2026 – December 31, 2026. The

continuation subgrants have one year project periods and are dispersed from 2023 and 2024 federal year STOP awards.

- A total of \$628,476.99 of the 2023 STOP award, of which \$22,313.30 is being used to support courts allocation.

A total of \$548,901.34 of the 2024 STOP award, of which \$103,211.60 is being used to support courts allocation.

CVSSD intends to use unallocated 2024 and 2025 STOP funds to support a new 2-year solicitation from January 1, 2027 – December 31, 2028. Once 2026 STOP funds have been released, monies may support a third continuation year. Additional unallocated 2023 STOP funds may support a targeted competitive solicitation for regional training projects. All grants will align to the goals in the 2026 – 2029 Implementation Plan.

Intended Use of Funds	Expected Results
Support the VAWA staff counsel position	VAWA Counsel serves as a liaison or a central point of contact for the Oregon Judicial Department for multidisciplinary committees, develops legal memoranda, bench guides, protocols, trainings, and education materials for judges and court staff. Provides regional trainings for judges and court staff. Updates translations of protective order forms for non-English speaking populations and ensures all court forms and instructions are accessible and available.
Support essential DVSA training for judges and court staff	This includes sending judges and court staff to national training's and bringing DVSA educators and trainers to Oregon to present at the annual judicial conference and new judge school. It also includes evaluating education "gaps" for judges and court staff and ensuring that those gaps are filled. For 2026, this work also includes the establishment of mandatory minimum continuing education requirements for all judges, as well as the development and delivery of mandatory DVSA education.
Support new court initiatives and projects	This includes support for the newly

related to public safety and offender accountability	established integrated domestic violence court and work to develop statewide firearm dispossession protocols for every court. The STOP funded court representative will lead a workgroup that will include obtaining and analyzing court data for firearm dispossession as noted in objective 1 a and b under Goal 5 for each year of the implementation plan. The workgroup will provide trends for the annual IP Subcommittee meeting and reach out to identify jurisdictions with identified gaps to improve their processes and collaboration with local law enforcement. Subsequent years of the plan will address recommendations to jurisdictions to improve firearm dispossession procedures and system improvements. It also includes the creation of new benchcards for judges, business process flowcharts for court staff, and other resources to help court staff assist the public in obtaining civil protection orders, family court orders, and working with the criminal law system.
--	---

Demographic Characteristics of the Populations to be Served with *Court Funds*

Oregon Judicial Department's case management system does not separate and track the demographics of domestic violence and sexual assault criminal cases. Therefore, the demographics are reflective of Oregon's total population with the court allocation addressing the entire state court system.

These challenges are the reason that the judiciary allocates its STOP funding for training so that our courts provide appropriate trauma informed responses, in addition to ensuring that there are resources that provide a culturally and linguistically welcoming justice process for all victims and survivors.

Demographic Factor Oregon Population Total = 4,272,371 ¹	% of Total Oregon Population	Estimated Base
Under 18	19.3% ²	824,568

¹ US Census [DP05 2024: ACS 1-Year Estimates Data Profiles](#)

² US Census Quick Facts 2024

Demographic Factor Oregon Population Total = 4,272,371 ¹	% of Total Oregon Population	Estimated Base
Between 18-60 Years	56.10% ³	2,396,800
60+ Years	19.9% ⁴	850,202
All Disabilities	11.10%	474,233
Black or African American	2.5% ⁵	106,809
Hispanic or Latino	15.5% ⁶	662,218
White, Not Hispanic or Latino	71.8% ⁷	3,067,562
Asian	5.5% ⁸	234,980
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	.5% ⁹	21,362
American Indian and Alaskan Native	2.1% ¹⁰	89,720
Two or More Races	4.5% ¹¹	192,257
Other	12.6% ¹²	538,319
LGBTQ	9.3% ¹³	397,331
Female	50.2% ¹⁴	2,145,340
Speak only English	84.6% ¹⁵	3,614,426
Speak a Language Other than English	15.4% ¹⁶	657,945

³ [ACS S1810 Disability Characteristics, 1-Year Estimates](#)

⁴ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁵ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁶ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁷ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁸ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁹ US Census Quick Facts 2024

¹⁰ US Census Quick Facts 2024

¹¹ US Census Quick Facts 2024

¹² US Census [DP05 2024: ACS 1-Year Estimates Data Profiles](#)

¹³ Gallup, <https://news.gallup.com/poll/656708/lgbtq-identification-rises.aspx>

¹⁴ US Census Quick Facts 2024

¹⁵ [ACS S1601 Language Spoken at Home, 2024 1-Year Estimate](#)

¹⁶ [ACS S1601 Language Spoken at Home, 2024 1-Year Estimate](#)

Demographic Factor Oregon Population Total = 4,272,371 ¹	% of Total Oregon Population	Estimated Base
Spanish	9.2% ¹⁷	384,513
Other Languages (Indo-European, Asian and Pacific Island, Other combined)	2.50% ¹⁸	106,809

The Oregon Judicial Department remains grateful for the support from the Office on Violence Against Women over the years. Due to budget constraints from the state legislature, for a number of years STOP VAWA funds were the only funds that the Judicial Department had allocated specifically to address the crimes of domestic violence, sexual violence, stalking, and dating violence. None of the work that OJD has accomplished over the years would be possible without the support from the Office on Violence Against Women.

Sincerely,

Nanci Thaemert

Director of Court Programs Division

Oregon Judicial Department

¹⁷ [ACS S1601 Language Spoken at Home, 2024 1-Year Estimate](#)

¹⁸ [ACS S1601 Language Spoken at Home, 2024 1-Year Estimate](#)



1202 SE Douglas Avenue | PO Box 1924 | Roseburg OR 97470
contactus@peaceathome.com | (541) 673-7867 | www.peaceathome.com

May 27, 2026

Ginger Baran Lyons, Deputy Director for Grants Development and Management (Supervisory Official)
Office on Violence Against Women
U.S. Department of Justice
145 N Street NE, Suite 10W.121
Washington, DC 20530

Dear Deputy Director Lyons:

I am writing as a representative of a *Victim Services* program, who serves on the state's STOP planning team, to provide information associated with the Oregon FY 2026 application for STOP Formula Grant funds. What follows is a description of the need for *Victim Services* funds, the intended use of the grant funds for this allocation, the expected results of the use of *Victim Services* grant funds, and demographic characteristics of the populations to be served with *Victim Services* funds.

Need for the Grant Funds

We have identified multiple needs in Oregon related to domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and/or stalking, and the ability of *Victim Services* to address the safety needs of victims and accountability of offenders.

- The STOP Grant helps Oregon stabilize funding for victim services as it supports nonprofit and tribal victim service advocates to provide domestic and sexual violence 24/7 Crisis response, emergency shelter and transitional housing, legal advocacy, court and law enforcement accompaniment, and sexual assault team response including coordination of forensic evidence collection and interviews.
- The STOP Grant provides victims of domestic and sexual assault, stalking and dating violence meaningful access to services for all victims that are survivor centered, promoting self-sufficiency and independence.
- The STOP VAWA allocation for victim services supports non-profit and tribal domestic and sexual violence advocacy organizations through a joint formula. Each biennium subgrantees submit a single application for state and federal funds which includes STOP VAWA. The joint formula focuses on access and distribution of resources to all geographic communities, including both rural and urban areas across the state.. The formula establishes a base amount for each service area with additional funds distributed based on population, current prevalence rates for population, advocate cost and advocate-survivor service ratio. All required set asides are met for each subgrant.

Intended Use of Grant Funds and Expected Results

The following chart summarizes the intended use of STOP Grant funds by twenty-one (21) nonprofit and tribal victim service providers that will be receiving the victim services allocation from January 1, 2026 – December 31, 2026. The noncompetitive subgrants have one year project periods and are dispersed from the 2025 federal year STOP award. *A total of \$634,993.00 of the 2025 STOP award, of which 100% is funding direct victim services.*

CVSSD intends to use 2026 STOP funds (once released) to support a 1-year noncompetitive victim service award from July 1, 2026 – June 30, 2027, for nonprofit victim services organizations and January 1, 2027 – December 31, 2027, for tribal victim service programs. Additional unallocated 2023 STOP funds may support a targeted competitive solicitation for regional training projects. All grants will align to the goals in the 2026 – 2029 Implementation Plan.

Intended Use of Funds	Expected Results
Partially fund a Crisis and Support Lead Advocate (Hope and Safety Alliance)	Increase capacity to provide direct services to domestic violence victims, including crisis line management and response, after hours back-up, emergency planning, safety planning, info and referral, peer support and advocacy, and system navigation and case management.
Fund three Sexual Assault Advocates and services for 100% Sexual Assault Set Aside projects (Community Works, Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation, Safe Harbors)	Partially funded SA Advocates provide crisis intervention, hospital response, court accompaniment, protective order assistance and victim advocacy for victims of sexual assault. Three advocates will focus on general advocacy services for victims of sexual assault. (Community Works, Safe Harbors) A Sexual Assault Specialist provides direct services to those impacted by sexual assault for a rural Oregon federally recognized tribe. (Confederated Tribes of Umatilla Indian Reservation).
One hundred percent Sexual Assault set aside subgrants: Fund Crisis Line Advocates for an Sexual Assault Stand Alone Organization (Sexual Assault Support Services or SASS)	Provide advocacy to survivors of sexual violence including immediate 24-hour crisis response, informs advocates of hospital or law enforcement call-outs, and information and referrals for victims and survivors of sexual assault. Provides emergency medical advocacy to survivors in hospitals and during SAFE exams and law enforcement reporting.

Fund a portion of an advocate for an SA Stand Alone Organization (Sexual Assault Resource Center)	Provides 24-hour SA advocacy services.
Legal Advocacy for two partially funded advocates (Saving Grace, Peace at Home Advocacy Center)	A co-located advocate at the County Courthouse along with two partially funded advocates provide safety planning, assistance filing protective orders, information and referral on a 24/7 crisis hotline, and accompaniment to court.
Fund six Culturally Specific Victim Services Advocate and services for CS Set Aside includes Native American Youth and Family Center (NAYA) and El Programa Hispano Catolico as well as five federally recognized tribes: Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua and Siuslaw Indians, Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde, Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs, Klamath Tribes, Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians.	All advocates provide culturally specific victim services, including safety planning, crisis intervention, information and referral, advocacy, and emergency support services. One advocate will also increase coordination with victim service providers to ensure culturally specific sexual and domestic violence services. (Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde) One advocate will be dedicated to minimizing cultural and language barriers to better serve Latinx survivors of domestic and sexual violence. (El Programa Hispano Catolico)
Fund 3 Domestic Violence/Sexual Assault Advocates and an SA Response Manager (Center Against Rape and Domestic Violence, Clackamas Women's Services, Domestic Violence Services and Center for Hope and Safety)	Expand direct advocacy services, including but not limited to safety planning, information and referral, outreach, emergency shelter assistance, and individualized case management. Co-facilitate an SA and DV support group and manage crisis response hotline and hospital response.
Fund 4 Legal Advocates (Center Against Rape & Domestic Violence, Clackamas Women's Services, Peace at Home Advocacy Center, Saving Grace)	Provide specific legal advocacy for victims and survivors of sexual assault. Legal services include assistance with restraining and protective orders; and information, referral and court accompaniment. Focus on individualized case management for victims and survivors of sexual assault. Support includes advocacy for shelter and legal processes, safety planning, referrals via warm hand-offs, acting as a liaison with system and community partners.

Fund a Community Advocate (Domestic Violence Resource Center, Inc.)	One advocate will provide individual and group counseling, intake, and referrals for victims and survivors of sexual assault.
Fund a Sexual Assault Resource Coordinator position. (Klamath Advocacy Center)	Provide response and direct services to victims and survivors of sexual assault that includes overseeing the rape crisis line with the Volunteer Coordinator; Overseeing specific sexual assault training for volunteers and staff; And participate with the Sexual Assault Response Team and other community partners.
Fund a portion of the Advocacy Lead/Volunteer Coordinator (Center for Hope and Safety)	Provides volunteer advocate recruitment & training; volunteer scheduling to ensure hotline is covered 24/7; direct crisis intervention services & advocacy; information and referral services; and safety planning, court assistance & shelter screening.

Demographic Characteristics of the Populations to be Served with *Victim Services Funds*

The following chart provides the demographic characteristics of the State/Territory to be served with STOP funds:

Demographic Factor Oregon Population Total = 4,272,371 ¹	% of Total Oregon Population	Estimated Base
Under 18	19.3% ²	824,568
Between 18-60 Years	56.10% ³	2,396,800
60+ Years	19.9% ⁴	850,202
All Disabilities	11.10%	474,233
Black or African American	2.5% ⁵	106,809
Hispanic or Latino	15.5% ⁶	662,218
White, Not Hispanic or Latino	71.8% ⁷	3,067,562
Asian	5.5% ⁸	234,980

¹ US Census [DP05 2024: ACS 1-Year Estimates Data Profiles](#)

² US Census Quick Facts 2024

³ [ACS S1810 Disability Characteristics, 1-Year Estimates](#)

⁴ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁵ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁶ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁷ US Census Quick Facts 2024

⁸ US Census Quick Facts 2024

Demographic Factor Oregon Population Total = 4,272,371 ¹	% of Total Oregon Population	Estimated Base
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	.5% ⁹	21,362
American Indian and Alaskan Native	2.1% ¹⁰	89,720
Two or More Races	4.5% ¹¹	192,257
Other	12.6% ¹²	538,319
LGBTQ	9.3% ¹³	397,331
Female	50.2% ¹⁴	2,145,340
Speak only English	84.6% ¹⁵	3,614,426
Speak a Language Other than English	15.4% ¹⁶	657,945
Spanish	9.2% ¹⁷	384,513
Other Languages (Indo-European, Asian and Pacific Island, Other combined)	2.50% ¹⁸	106,809

The STOP funds have continued to bridge the gaps in victims' services across the state of Oregon. They fund multiple culturally specific programs that bridge language barriers with nonprofit victim service programs and the Tribes. Many of the programs utilize STOP funds to ensure there are dedicated advocates to address the specific needs of victims of sexual assault. Among these two trends, STOP funds also fund multiple legal advocates, a commonly reported missing service in the most recent Annual VAWA Survey. As a representative of the victims' service programs, I ask to continue providing formula grant funding for the upcoming fiscal year to prevent further harm, child abuse and murder in our communities. Thank you.

Sincerely,



Melanie M. Taylor Prummer
Executive Director, Peace at Home Advocacy Center
CVSSD Advisory Committee & VAWA IP Subcommittee Member

⁹ US Census Quick Facts 2024

¹⁰ US Census Quick Facts 2024

¹¹ US Census Quick Facts 2024

¹² US Census [DP05 2024: ACS 1-Year Estimates Data Profiles](#)

¹³ Gallup, <https://news.gallup.com/poll/656708/lgbtq-identification-rises.aspx>

¹⁴ US Census Quick Facts 2024

¹⁵ [ACS S1601 Language Spoken at Home, 2024 1-Year Estimate](#)

¹⁶ [ACS S1601 Language Spoken at Home, 2024 1-Year Estimate](#)



¹⁷ [ACS S1601 Language Spoken at Home, 2024 1-Year Estimate](#)

¹⁸ [ACS S1601 Language Spoken at Home, 2024 1-Year Estimate](#)

Appendix F-1 VAWA IP Survey Summary Report 2025

Demographics

The survey had a completion rate of 94%. Of the 242 individuals that initiated it, 227 completed the survey enough to identify trends and pull recommendations from the responses. The demographics of the respondents are in the following charts.




In this 2025 STOP VAWA survey, there are more Non-profit or Tribal victim services advocacy responses. Compared to the prior 2020 and 2016 VAWA surveys, most frequent responses were from the public (non-staff, community members) and Judges and court staff, respectively. To effectively identify trends, professions may have been combined when the work is similar in nature, i.e., Law Enforcement and Law Enforcement-based victim services advocate.

Survey Findings

Underserved or inadequately served communities

The survey asked service providers to select five populations in the community that would be considered as “underserved or inadequately served”, who have minimal or limited access to support their needs as victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, and stalking. The top five among all respondents are:


- Victims with mental health diagnoses
- Residents of rural or remote areas
- Homeless and/or Houseless
- Limited English proficiency
- Economically disadvantaged



Barriers and challenges

Respondents were also asked to identify the five most prominent challenges in the community when providing assistance to victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, and stalking. The top five most reported responses are:

- Insufficient funding for victim service programs
- Affordable/free legal services for victims
- Available and affordable housing for victims
- Lack of transportation for victims
- Not enough prosecutors




Policy and resource gaps

The following four questions focused on policy and/or resource gaps our state's ability to respond to the needs of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking, and dating violence victims.


Domestic Violence

The top three policies and/or resources to best serve victims of domestic violence are: Civil legal assistance to survivors, Transitional housing, and Improved criminal justice responses—Stronger laws holding offenders accountable, with over 30% of all respondents selecting one or more of these options.



Sexual Assault

The top three policy and/or resource to best serve victims of sexual assault are: Improved Criminal Justice System Response—Stronger laws holding offenders accountable, Funding to assure dedicated sexual assault advocates, and Civil legal assistance to survivors.




Stalking

The top three policy and/or resource to best serve victims of stalking are: Improved criminal justice system response—Stronger, more consistent enforcement of existing laws, Civil legal assistance to survivors, and Improved criminal justice system response: Less complex laws, with over 30% of all respondents selecting one or more of these options.



Dating Violence

The top three policy and/or resource to best serve victims of dating violence are: School based response and services for teen dating violence survivors; Training for school-based partners (teachers, counselors, administrators, and coaches) for teen dating violence survivors; Specialized protective orders (a SAPO or Stalking Order if perpetrator is under 18 years of age in Oregon); and Civil Legal Assistance for Survivors, with over 30% of all respondents selecting one or more of these options.




Priorities for Training

The survey concluded with four questions asking what top three priorities in training would allow various service providers to better respond and hold offenders accountable. The four service providers are law enforcement; prosecution; tribal, system, and/or community-based victim service providers; and judicial system practitioners. The top three responses for training for each service provider are compared to the reporting rate of each of the corresponding service providers. For example, the first chart displays what top three priorities in training for law enforcement chart compares the response rate from all respondents to the response rate within law enforcement and law enforcement-based victim service advocates. This allows conclusions to be drawn whether service providers identify the same training priorities for their profession to their external partners.


Law Enforcement Trainings

Based off responses, all respondents and respondents with Law Enforcement and Law Enforcement-based victim services advocates agree of the top three training priorities—First responder assessment, evidence collection and reporting; Advanced DV/SA Training, and Trauma informed response to victims and survivors.



Prosecution Trainings

There is slight variation in training priorities varied between all survey respondents and Prosecutor and District Attorney or Tribal Prosecution-based victim services advocate. Both agree that Advanced DV/SA training is critical in future training priorities and Communicating prosecutor decisions to victims. However, 26% of survey respondents say that trainings on evidence-based sexual assault charging and prosecution would allow for better service for victims and survivors; whereas 32% of the prosecutors, DAs, or Tribal Prosecution-based victim service advocates reported wanting trainings focused on how to work compassionately with fearful and hostile victims.



Tribal, System, and/or Community-based Victim Services Providers

The top priorities in training for respondents with tribal, system, and/or community-based victim service providers overlap with what all respondents believe would better serve victims and survivors. Based off all survey respondents, the top two reported are trainings focused on 1. community resources available to victims and how to access them and 2. identifying and responding to victim mental health and substance abuse issues. The third most reported training priority is tied between three priorities,


- Working with and responding appropriately to cultural and/or population specific communities
- Basic overview of legal system for victim services, and
- Advanced DV/SA training

Respondents with professions in nonprofit and tribal victim service advocacy stated their top three training priorities are 1. Identifying and responding to victim mental health and substance abuse issues, 2. Working with and responding appropriately to cultural and/or population specific communities, and 3. Basic overview of legal system for victim services.



Judicial System Practitioners (Judges and Court Staff)

The reported training priorities for judicial system practitioners for all the survey respondents versus judges and court staff also have overlap. Both groups reported needing training focused on 1) understanding victimization, including victim blaming and the effects of trauma on victims, and 2) more advanced DV/SA training for judicial staff. The difference between all respondents and just the judges and court staff is all respondents want more advanced DV/SA training for judges and the judges, and court staff want trainings on enforcement of protective orders.



Takeaways and Recommendations

The suggested recommendations are based on the 2025 VAWA survey. The 2020 and 2016 VAWA surveys were also considered, as well as the 2024 OCADSV (Oregon Coalition Against Domestic and Sexual Violence) Needs Assessment, to provide support for and strengthen the recommendations. Other narrative responses collected by CVSSD, including progress reports, annual reports, feedback surveys, etc., were not included in this assessment. Recommendations are divided into two sections: Services and Training Priorities

Services

Over the past decade, there have been clear trends for the gaps and challenges in services for victims and survivors. There is universal agreement from all services providers that the largest challenge is funding service programs. To remedy this, the state continues to provide flexibility with the state funds for service providers. However, the state and federal funding opportunities continue to be a challenge and an actionable recommendation to increase funding opportunities for service providers is outside of scope.

Prioritize legal services for victims

Over the last decade, providing adequate legal services to victims and survivors has continued to be a challenge. Between the three surveys distributed from 2016 to 2025, affordable or free legal services consistently ranked as the second most predominant barrier to providing assistance or victims and survivors. The 2025 VAWA survey specified that it's most needed for the victims and survivors of domestic violence, sexual assault, or stalking, and continued to be reported as a resource gap for the 2016 VAWA survey. This is especially important considering that Victim Rights Legal Center closed their Portland office and the non-continuation of funding for University of Oregon's Domestic Violence Law Clinic and Oregon Crime Victim Law Center.

Improve criminal justice response efforts

Survey respondents identified multiple criminal justice response efforts that are lacking. Victims and survivors of domestic violence or sexual assault need stronger laws holding offenders accountable, whereas victims and survivors of stalking need stronger, more consistent enforcement of existing laws or less complex laws. The victims and survivors of teen dating violence need specialized Protective Orders for individuals under 18 years old.

Provide mental health support services for survivors

From 2020 to 2025, victims with mental health diagnoses were reported as the most underserved or inadequately served population. Additionally, the 2020 VAWA survey reported access to services for people with mental health was the third largest barrier to providing assistance and the 2016 VAWA survey stated long-term mental health services was the second largest resource gap for victims and survivors of sexual assault. It's clear that mental health services continue to be a gap for victims and survivors throughout the past decade.

Continue to advocate for available and affordable housing for victims

Having housing options has continued to be a statewide concern. The homeless and/or houseless population ranked third most underserved population in the 2025 survey and second most underserved population in 2020. Additionally, available and affordable housing for victims being the third most reported barrier for providing assistance to victims. In the 2025 OCADSV Needs Assessment, one of the recommendations also stated a need for increased long-term housing opportunities for victims. With the establishment of the Survivor Housing Funds, administered by CVSSD, whose purpose is to aid victims and survivors maintain long-term safe housing, this issue goes beyond funding concerns, but Oregon's needs for and increase in inventory of accessible and affordable housing.

Trainings

Improve and offer advanced training opportunities on domestic violence and sexual assault

The four most frequently responding service providers all state that there is a need for advanced domestic violence and sexual assault (DV/SA) training opportunities. Currently, CVSSD funds require grant-funded staff to complete 40 hours of training, however this usually covers the basics of victim and survivor services. However, with law enforcement, prosecution, and judges and court staff reporting a need for more advanced DV/SA training, improving existing training and a development of more advanced training will allow for better service and support to victims and survivors.

Create training options to meet the various knowledge gaps with each type of service provider

The 2025 VAWA survey emphasized the demand for specific training for each service provider. Despite most service providers identifying a need for advanced DV/SA training opportunities, there is clear variation of needs by each profession, as well as variation between providers internally and recommendations for each of those types of service providers by their external partners.

Law Enforcement

Service providers in law enforcement or law enforcement-based victim service advocates expressed a need for more training in first responder assessment, evidence collection, and reporting writing-related. They also reported needing more training focused on trauma-informed response to victims and survivors. External partners also expressed the need for the same types of training. By focusing on these types of trainings for law enforcement, services can be more victim-centered and meet the perceived needs defined by other service providers.

Prosecution

Service providers in prosecution reported they wanted more training focused on how to better communicate prosecutor decisions to victims; and working compassionately with fearful and hostile victims. External partners also reported that there is a need for training of better communication of prosecutor decision to victims. They also reported focused on evidence-based sexual assault charging and prosecution and charging and prosecuting stalking crimes. Having prosecutors and DA or Tribal DA prosecution-based victim service advocates focus training on the transparency of the process and implement practices more victim-centered will improve the quality of service.

Nonprofit and Tribal

The trainings that nonprofit and tribal advocates report needing more of are identifying and responding to victim mental health and substance abuse issues; working with and responding appropriately to cultural and/or population specific communities; and a basic overview of legal system for victim services. These three trainings overlapped with the top training priorities reported by external partners as well as needing training on community resources available to victims and how to access them. The priorities for training at nonprofit and tribal based advocate victim services should focus on resources and culturally responsive services.

Judicial System

Internally, judges and court staff reported needing more training in understanding victimization, including victim blaming and the effects of trauma, and enforcement of protective orders. External partners also agree that training to understand victimization is needed with judges and court staff. However, external partners strongly reported needing advanced DV/SA training for both judges and judicial staff. Aside from the advanced DV/SA training, judges and court staff should prioritize attending training to better understand victimization and stalking laws and the enforcement of these laws.

Appendix F-2 VAWA Survey Questions 2025

Introduction: The Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) Implementation Planning Subcommittee and Crime Victim and Survivors' Services Division (CVSSD) Advisory Committee is responsible for overseeing the administration of federal VAWA grant dollars in Oregon. CVSSD oversight committees are working on drafting the VAWA Implementation Plan in FY 2025 for FY 2026 - 2029.

The purpose of the plan is to provide a roadmap to guide decision makers in ensuring that VAWA monies are used to address the highest priority policy and service gaps for survivors of domestic and sexual violence, dating violence and stalking in Oregon. Please take a few minutes to fill out this survey and help us to identify these gaps. The survey should take 15 minutes or less to complete.

The Oregon Department of Justice, CVSSD and the VAWA IP Subcommittee thank you for your time and for your input.

All references to law enforcement, prosecution and courts includes both Tribal Nations as well as mainstream or nonprofit programs and agencies. CVSSD defines victim service programs as non-profit, government-based and tribal.

1. Respondent Information:

a. What is your profession?

- Non-profit victim services advocate
- Tribal victim services advocate
- DA or Tribal Prosecution-based victim services advocate
- Law enforcement-based victim services advocate
- Prosecutor
- Law Enforcement
- Judge or court staff
- Training institute or statewide technical assistant agency

b. Where do you work?

- Central Oregon
- Coast
- Eastern Oregon
- Southern Oregon
- Tri-County Area
- Willamette Valley
- Multi-county or Statewide

2. Based on your experience, please select **up to 5** of the following populations that you would identify as “Underserved or Inadequately Served” in your community – those who have minimal access and are in specific need of more outreach and support to meet their needs as victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, and stalking:

- Elderly
- Residents of Rural or remote areas
- Limited English Proficient
- Economically Disadvantaged
- Homeless and/or Houseless
- Victims with Mental Health Diagnoses
- Victims with Drug and Alcohol Addictions
- Victims with Ambulatory, self-care or independent living Difficulty
- Victims with Cognitive Difficulty
- Victim who is deaf or hard of hearing
- Victims with Low Vision or Blindness
- Victims of Labor Trafficking
- Victims of Sex Trafficking
- Adolescent and Teen Victims (includes dating violence, stalking, sexual assault, and sexually exploited)
- Culturally specific and/or population specific
- Federally Recognized Tribes
- Male Survivors
- People who work in the sex industry
- Farm Workers
- Spouses and children of combat veterans
- Veterans
- Incarcerated Survivors
- Other:

3. In your opinion, select the **top 5** most predominant challenges in your community to providing assistance to victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence, and stalking:

- Lack of transportation for victims
- Lack of information/knowledge about available victims’ services
- Available and affordable housing for victims
- Job training for victims
- Affordable/free legal services for victims
- Legal services for victims (conflict with available services)
- Cultural, language and accessibility
- Lack of community knowledge about the dynamics of violence against women

- Lack of coordination between victim service providers and criminal justice agencies
- Lack of coordination between criminal justice agencies and Tribal victim service programs, law enforcement, and courts
- Not enough police officers
- Not enough prosecutors
- Not enough victim service providers: ☐ nonprofit ☐ tribal ☐ government
- Lack of appropriate response from criminal justice system
- Lack of services for male victims
- Not enough emergency shelter beds
- Lack of volunteers
- Insufficient funding for victim service programs
- Inadequate pay for staff which leads to frequent staff turnover
- Not enough judges/court staff to allow time for hearing cases, processing paperwork, attending trainings, and collaborating with shareholders, etc.
- Access to medical-forensic care: Lack of medical response including trained SANEs and medical advocacy
- Lack of affordable health care/mental health care
- Other: *Open ended*.

4. Top Policy and/or Resource Gaps: The following questions are designed to obtain feedback regarding the way our current systems are serving survivors of domestic and sexual violence, teen dating violence and stalking in Oregon. Please use your background and expertise to help us evaluate gaps in services, resources, or policy. Thank you.

- a. What do you believe are the top three (3) policy and/or resource gaps in our state's ability to respond to the needs of **domestic violence** survivors? (Check 3)
- Civil Legal Assistance to Survivors
 - Emergency Services: Financial Assistance for Survivors
 - Emergency Services: Crisis lines
 - Emergency Services: In person response
 - Emergency Service: Emergency Shelter
 - Housing: Transitional (up to 2 years)
 - Housing: Long term (more than 2 years)
 - Improved Criminal Justice System Response: Stronger laws holding offenders accountable
 - Improved Criminal Justice System Response: Specialized Law Enforcement Units
 - Improved Criminal Justice System Response: Multidisciplinary Team (DVERT, DVRU) Response
 - Improved Criminal Justice System Response: Specialized Prosecution

- Mental Health Services: Short Term
 - Mental Health Services: Long Term
 - Multidisciplinary training
 - Program support funding for administrative costs
 - Resource gaps to Oregon Court Systems (for judges, court staff, and training)
 - Response and services for survivors to cultural and/or population specific communities.
 - Response and services for survivors from Tribal Nations.
 - Training for Health Professionals (SANE's for strangulation kits, hospital, mental health and medical)
 - Other: *Open ended*.
- b. What do you believe are the top three (3) policy and/or resource gaps in our state's ability to respond to the needs of **sexual assault** survivors? (Check 3)
- Civil Legal Assistance to Survivors
 - Emergency Services: Crisis Lines
 - Emergency Services: In Person Response
 - Emergency Services: Financial Assistance for Survivors
 - Emergency Services: Emergency shelter
 - Housing: Transitional (up to 2 years)
 - Housing: Long term (more than 2 years)
 - Funding to assure dedicated sexual assault advocates
 - Improved Criminal Justice System Response: Stronger laws holding offenders accountable
 - Improved Criminal Justice System Response: Specialized Law Enforcement Units
 - Improved Criminal Justice System Response: Support for Sexual Assault Response Team (Multidisciplinary)
 - Improved Criminal Justice System Response: Specialized Prosecution
 - Improved medical response for survivors (Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners, etc.)
 - Mental Health Services: Short Term
 - Mental Health Services: Long Term
 - Multidisciplinary Training
 - Resource Gaps to Oregon Court Systems (for judges, court staff, and training)
 - Response and services for sexual assault survivors to cultural and/or population specific communities.
 - Response and services for sexual assault survivors from Tribal Nations.
 - Training for Health Professionals (SANES, hospital, mental health and medical)
 - Support funding for program administrative costs
 - Other: *Open ended*

c. What do you believe are the top three (3) policy and/or resource gaps in our state's ability to respond to the needs of **stalking** survivors? (Check 3)

- Civil Legal Assistance to Survivors
- Emergency Services: Crisis Lines
- Emergency Services: Emergency shelter
- Emergency Financial Assistance to survivors (relocation, housing, changing locks & other safety precautions)
- Housing: Transitional (up to 2 years)
- Housing: Long term (more than 2 years)
- Improved Criminal Justice System Response: less complex laws
- Improved Criminal Justice System Response: Stronger, more consistent enforcement of existing laws
- Improved Criminal Justice System Response: Specialized enforcement, including Parole & Probation
- Mental Health Services: Short Term
- Mental Health Services: Long Term
- Multidisciplinary Training
- Resource Gaps to Oregon Court Systems (for judges, court staff, and training)
- Response and services for stalking survivors to cultural and/or population specific communities.
- Response and services for stalking survivors from Tribal Nations.
- Training to Education System Partners (teachers, administrators, counselors)
- Support funding for program administrative costs
- Other: *Open ended*

d. What do you believe are the top three (3) policy and/or resource gaps in our state's ability to respond to the needs of **dating violence** survivors (includes teens age 17 and under)? (Check 3)

- Civil Legal Assistance for Survivors
- Emergency Services: Crisis Lines
- Emergency Services: Emergency shelter
- Emergency Financial Assistance for survivors
- Housing: Transitional (up to 2 years)
- Housing: Long term (more than 2 years)
- Mental Health Services: Short Term
- Mental Health Services: Long Term
- Improved medical response for survivors.
- Multidisciplinary Training

- Resource Gaps to Oregon Court Systems (for judges, court staff, and training)
- Response and services for dating violence survivors to cultural and/or population specific communities.
- Response and services for dating violence survivors from Tribal Nations.
- School based response & services for teen dating violence survivors.
- Specialized Protective Orders (a SAPO or Stalking Order if perpetrator is under 18 years of age in Oregon)
- Training for school-based partners (teachers, counselors, administrators, coaches) for teen dating violence survivors.
- Training for juvenile department staff
- Program support funding for administrative costs
- Other: *Open ended*

5. Training Priorities. What are your organization's top three priorities for helpful future training projects for law enforcement, prosecution, victim services, and judicial response to survivors? What would be most helpful to you and your colleagues in your own area, and what do you see as most helpful for other systems?

- a. What do you believe are the top three (3) priorities in training for **law enforcement** to better respond to survivors and hold offenders accountable? (Check 3)
- Advanced DV/SA Training.
 - Basic DV/SA Training, including the dynamics of DV/SA.
 - Evidence-based domestic violence/sexual assault prosecution.
 - Partnering with community-based and Tribal DVSA advocates.
 - Victim's rights and concerns.
 - Working with and responding appropriately to cultural and/or population specific.
 - Working with and responding appropriately to Tribal Nations.
 - First responder assessment, evidence collection and report writing.
 - Identifying the primary and predominant aggressor.
 - Interviewing children at the scene
 - Understanding Roles and Responsibilities of victim service providers (nonprofit, government based and tribal)
 - Responding to teen dating violence victims and survivors.
 - Response and services to Tribal Nation survivors, including jurisdictional issues.
 - Stalking laws and enforcement.
 - State and federal firearms laws to increase victim safety.
 - Strangulation investigation of crime as felony.
 - Trauma informed response to victims and survivors
 - Use of strangulation kits (SKITS) in investigation

- Recognizing and responding to violence committed by abusers after they return from service in a war zone.
 - Training on Culturally Specific Issues
 - Training on Protection Orders from law enforcement perspective, contempt actions or enforcement
 - Enforcement of protective orders
 - Using lethality assessments to increase victim safety.
 - Working compassionately with fearful and hostile victims.
 - Working with victims with differing cognitive abilities
 - Other: *Open ended*
- b. What do you believe are the top three (3) priorities in training for **prosecution** to better respond to survivors and hold offenders accountable? (Check 3)
- Advanced DV/SA Training.
 - Basic DV/SA Training, including the dynamics of DV/SA.
 - Evidence-based sexual assault charging and prosecution
 - Partnering with community-based and tribal DVSA Advocates.
 - Charging and prosecuting crimes against Tribal Nation perpetrators, including jurisdictional issues.
 - Charging and prosecuting stalking crimes.
 - Charging and prosecuting teen dating violence crimes.
 - Communicating prosecutor decisions to victims.
 - Responding to teen dating violence victims and survivors.
 - State and federal firearms laws to increase victim safety.
 - Strangulation prosecution of crime as felony
 - Use of strangulation kits (SKITS) in prosecution
 - Enforcement of protective orders
 - Recognizing and responding to violence committed by abusers after they return from service in a war zone.
 - Training on Culturally Specific Issues
 - Training on Protection Order from Victims Perspective
 - Using lethality assessments to increase victim safety.
 - Victim rights and victim services.
 - Working compassionately with fearful and hostile victims.
 - Working with victim service providers as a team.
 - Working with victims with differing cognitive abilities
 - Other: *Open ended*

- c. What do you believe are the top three (3) priorities in training for tribal, system and/or community-based **victim service providers** to better respond to survivors and hold offenders accountable? (Check 3)
- Advanced DV/SA Training.
 - Basic DV/SA Training, including the dynamics of DV/SA.
 - Basic Overview of Legal System for Victims Services
 - Community resources available to victims and how to access them.
 - Confidentiality.
 - Working with and responding appropriately to cultural and/or population specific.
 - Working with and responding appropriately to Tribal Nations.
 - Identifying and responding to victim mental health and substance abuse issues.
 - Understanding roles and responsibilities of Law Enforcement as First Responders
 - Policies and procedures for shelters and how to obtain a bed in shelter when programs do not have shelter
 - Response and services to Tribal Nation survivors, including jurisdictional issues.
 - Safety planning.
 - State and federal firearms laws to increase victim safety.
 - Training on Culturally Specific Issues
 - Training on Protection Order from Victims Perspective
 - Trauma informed services and vicarious trauma.
 - Responding to victims whose abusers have returned from services in a war zone.
 - Understanding criminal justice system processes and impact on victims.
 - Using lethality assessments to increase victim safety.
 - Vicarious trauma or self-care for advocates to ensure longevity.
 - Working with stalking survivors, including applicable laws and protections.
 - Working with teen dating violence survivors, including applicable laws and protections
 - Working with victims with differing cognitive disabilities.
 - Other: *Open ended*
- d. What do you believe are the top three (3) priorities in training for **judicial system practitioners (judges and court staff)** to better respond to survivors and hold offenders accountable? (Check 3)
- Advanced DV/SA Training for Judicial Staff
 - Advanced DV/SA Training for Judges
 - Basic DV/SA Training, including the dynamics of DV/SA.
 - Working with and responding appropriately to cultural and/or population specific.
 - Working with and responding appropriately to Tribal Nations.
 - DVSA, children's safety and unsupervised parenting time.

- Enforcement of protective orders
- Intimate partner violence
- Recognizing and responding to violence committed by abusers after they return from service in a war zone.
- Stalking laws and enforcement.
- State and federal firearms laws to increase victim safety.
- Teen dating violence laws and enforcement.
- Training on Culturally Specific Issues
- Tribal Nations legal and jurisdictional issues.
- Understanding victimization, including victim blaming and the effects of trauma on victims.
- Using lethality assessments to increase victim safety.
- Victim rights and victim services.
- Other: *Open ended*

Appendix G

2025-2026 VAWA Non-Competitive Grant Program: Award Period: July 1, 2025-June 30, 2026

Award Period for Tribal Programs: January 1, 2026 – December 31, 2026

County/Counties	Agency	Contact Person	Purpose
Linn & Benton	Center Against Rape & Domestic Violence (CARDV)	Stephanie Miller Executive Director Corvallis, OR 97339 Website: http://www.cardvservices.org	This award funds a .17 FTE Sexual Assault Response Manager to support the 24/5 crisis support hotline, meet with survivors to provide support, safety planning, information and referral, provide crisis response for survivors with medical professionals, co-facilitate SA and DV support groups, and deliver, outreach presentations to community partners and organizations. Purpose Areas 5, 24 Award \$20,995
Marion	Center for Hope and Safety	Ashley Carson Executive Director Salem, OR 97301 website: www.hopeandsafety.org	This award supports a .42 FTE Advocacy Lead to support all direct service advocates, schedule and supervise volunteers and interns, maintain hotline coverage calendar, provide direct crisis intervention services & safety planning, and back-up Advocacy Supervisor in overseeing all aspects of the direct service program. Purpose Area 5 Award \$38,567
Clackamas	Clackamas Women's Services	Melissa Erlbaum Executive Director Oregon City, OR 97045 Website: www.cwsor.org	This award supports .25 FTE Family Justice Center Advocate to conduct Legal advocacy, court accompaniment, crisis intervention and safety planning, and system navigation support. Purpose Area 5 Award \$30,000
Jackson	Community Works, Inc.	Barbara Johnson Executive Director Medford, OR 97501-2744 Website: www.community-works.org	This award funds a .34 Sexual Assault Lead Advocate to perform crisis intervention, hospital response, court accompaniment, protective order assistance, and victim advocacy for victims of sexual assault. This is 100% funding sexual assault services. Purpose Area 5 Award \$30,000
Coos (Tribal County Service Area includes: Douglas, Lane, Lincoln, and Curry)	Confederated Tribes of Coos, Lower Umpqua & Siuslaw Indians	Kelly Bradley Social Services Director Coos Bay, OR Website: http://ctclusi.org/	This culturally specific funding supports a .37 FTE Advocate to provide advocacy, information and referrals, crisis Intervention, safety planning and emergency support services. Purpose Areas: 6, 9, 19 Award \$40,595
Lincoln (Tribal County Service Area includes: Linn, Benton, Lane, Polk, Marion, Clackamas, Multnomah, Tillamook, Washington, and Yamhill)	Confederated Tribes of Siletz Indians	Rachelle Endres CARE Program Coordinator Siletz, OR 97380 Website: www.ctsi.nsn.us	Funds will support and increase the ability to provide culturally appropriate emergency support services to victims of sexual assault and domestic violence, stalking and teen dating violence within the Siletz community. Services may include emergency housing and childcare, assistance for victims who need first time rental assistance and are struggling to pay utilities, provide necessary transportation and other allowable emergency services. This agency meets OVW's definition of a culturally specific community-based organization that provides culturally competent services for tribal population. A percentage of these funds support SA services. Purpose area: 6, 9, 11, 14, 17, 19, 22 and 24. Award \$40,595

2025 - 2026 VAWA Non-Competitive Grant Program: Award Period: July 1, 2025-June 30, 2026

Award Period for Tribal Programs: January 1, 2026 – December 31, 2026

County/Counties	Agency	Contact Person	Purpose
Polk (Tribal County Service Area includes: Lincoln, Clackamas, Linn, Benton, Lane, Marion, Multnomah, Washington, and Tillamook)	Confederated Tribes of the Grand Ronde Community	Cassie Harper DV Program Coordinator Warriors of Hope Program Grand Ronde, OR 97347 Website: www.grandronde.org	This culturally specific award supports a .34 Domestic Violence Advocate to assist victims in understanding the criminal justice system and applying for crime victims' compensation benefits, provide legal advocacy, court accompaniment, and transportation, assure victims of safety and security utilizing financial resources, assist with crisis intervention and 24-7 crisis line and response, safe housing, shelter or transitional housing assistance. Purpose Area: 6 Award \$40,595
Umatilla	Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation	Desireé Coyote Family Violence Services Program Manager Pendleton, OR Website: www.ctuir.org	This culturally specific award supports a .36 FTE Sexual Assault Specialist to provide direct services to those impacted by sexual assault, secondary to Lead Advocate to provide direct services to those impacted by VAWA crimes, community awareness activities with FVS team, accompany those we serve at medical and court services, both Tribal and State. Purpose Area: 6 Award \$40,595
Jefferson	Confederated Tribes of the Warm Spring Reservation	Angelina SiJohn Vacant VOCS Program Director Victims of Crime Services Warm Springs, OR 97761 Website: https://warmsprings-nsn.gov/	This culturally specific award supports two advocates at .35 FTE to provide intake services, advocacy, ongoing follow-up, and case management. Purpose Area: 6 Award \$40,595
Washington	Domestic Violence Resource Center, Inc. (DVRC)	Amy Babcock Executive Director Hillsboro, OR 97123 Website: www.dvrc-or.org	This award supports .25 FTE Director of Counseling to do Individual Therapy, Group Therapy, Record case notes, Intake process, Consult with other care providers. Purpose Area 5 Award \$30,000
Umatilla & Morrow	Domestic Violence Services, Inc. (DVS)	Robbin Ferguson Executive Director Pendleton, OR 97801 Website: www.domesticviolenceservices-or.org	This award supports .56 advocate to provide direct culturally sensitive services to survivors of domestic violence, sexual assault, stalking and dating violence, greet walk-in or crisis clients and assess needs, create safety plans and assist with shelter, assist with restraining or protective orders and will accompany clients to court, provide translation services (English/Spanish) and advocate for the needs of underserved survivors, conduct peer support in group and individual format in Spanish and English. Purpose Area 5 Award \$20,653

2025 - 2026 VAWA Non-Competitive Grant Program: Award Period: July 1, 2025-June 30, 2026

Award Period for Tribal Programs: January 1, 2026 – December 31, 2026

County/Counties	Agency	Contact Person	Purpose
Multnomah	El Programa Hispano Catolico	Perla Estrada Director Portland, OR 97202 Website: www.elprograma.org	This funds a .25 Sex Trafficking Advocate to provide culturally and linguistically specific advocacy and case management support to ST survivors, assist survivors referral and navigation to medical and/or mental health services, legal services, immigration services, housing services, DHS, etc., assist survivors with filing protection orders, reporting to law enforcement, accessing crime victims compensation, etc. as desired, take a minimum of one 4hr shift per week on the UNICA crisis line supporting survivors with crisis intervention services to include safety planning and securing emergency transportation and safe shelter as needed, engage in culturally specific outreach events and provide trainings on ST services for Latine communities. This is an award is identified as a culturally specific grant. Purpose Area 5 Award \$20,379
Lane	Hope and Safety Alliance (formerly Womenspace)	Kim Lax Executive Director Eugene, OR 97405 website: https://www.hopesafetyalliance.org/	This supports a .25 FTE Crisis & Support Lead Advocate performing crisis line support and intervention, direct survivor assistance, advocacy, collaboration & referrals with community partners, documentation & technology use. Purpose Area 5 Award \$18,526
Klamath	Klamath Advocacy Center	Christy David Executive Director Klamath Falls, OR 97601 Website: www.klamathadvocacycenter.org	This award funds a .20 FTE Executive Director to coordinate Crisis Response to manage scheduling for the sexual assault crisis hotline and in-person response, while serving as backup to ensure immediate support and referrals are always available to callers in distress, oversee Intake and Advocacy Assignments: Staff with advocates to ensure intake processes are consistent and advocacy assignments are handled appropriately, strengthen Community Collaboration: Work with community partners to coordinate sexual assault responses, including active participation in SART, community forums, and state-level meetings to maintain communication and review policies, deliver Education and Prevention Programs: Develop and facilitate workshops and educational sessions that provide information on sexual assault, prevention strategies, and the promotion of consent, and provide Direct Victim Services: Offer advocacy, support, and resources directly to sexual assault survivors to address their immediate and ongoing needs. This award is 100% supporting sexual assault services. Purpose Area 5, 9, 11, 17, 19, 24 Award \$20,845
Klamath	The Klamath Tribes	Candi Uses Arrow, Program Manager Klamath Tribes Social Services Healing Winds Domestic & Sexual Violence Prevention Program Chiloquin, OR 97624 Website: www.klamathtribes.org/	This culturally specific award supports a .36 FTE Healing Winds Advocate to provide victim/survivor advocacy, crisis intervention, emergency shelter, restraining/stalking order assistance, and hospital response and advocacy. Purpose Areas: 6, 9, 11, 24 Award \$40,595

2025 - 2026 VAWA Non-Competitive Grant Program: Award Period: July 1, 2025-June 30, 2026

Award Period for Tribal Programs: January 1, 2026 – December 31, 2026

County/Counties	Agency	Contact Person	Purpose
Multnomah, Washington & Clackamas	Native American Youth and Family Center (NAYA)	Tawna Sanchez Director of Family Services Spencer Grace, Healing Circle Manager Portland, OR 97218 website: www.nayapdx.org	This funds a .03 FTE Director of Family Services to provide supervision of department staff, management and fiscal oversight of federal, state, county, and city and foundation grants, responsible for the coordination and outreach to other advocacy organizations at the local, regional and national level, oversee multiple program offerings, including crisis intervention services, educational services, and advocacy, coordinate program budget development process, negotiate program service contracts, and assure program quality and contract compliance, identify and remove barriers to effective service delivery, responsible for lead role in program outreach, and advocacy, responsible for recruitment, screening and selection of program staff. Provide staff orientation, training, and support to program staff. It also funds a .05 FTE Healing Circle Manager that is responsible for recruitment, screening and selection of other Healing Circle staff. Provide staff orientation, training, and support to department staff in the way of day to day supervision, establish and maintain partnerships with other social service agencies in order to provide referrals and coordinate responses, provide community outreach/training on culturally competent Domestic and Sexual Violence interventions; develop and maintain effective relationships with funders, oversee and direct the disbursement of client assistance and housing funds and supplies in compliance with funding sources and program priorities, provide financial oversight for program budgets, monthly expenditures, and complete monthly, quarterly, semi-annual and annual program reports for the NAYA Family Center. This is a culturally specific award. Purpose Areas 5, 6, 11 Award \$18,128
Douglas	Peace at Home Advocacy Center	Melanie Taylor Prummer Executive Director Roseburg, OR 97470 website: www.peaceathome.com	This award supports a .26 FTE Legal Advocacy Services Coordinator . Services include answering crisis line calls to provide support, safety planning, and resources and referrals; providing assistance filing protective orders; offering court accompaniment and advocate for accommodations when necessary; assisting with preparation for protective order contestment hearings; providing referrals for other services e.g. legal aid, modest means attorneys, etc. Purpose Area 5 Award \$21,340
Wallowa	Safe Harbors	Cassie Griggs Executive Director Enterprise, OR 97828 website: www.wcsafeharbors.com	This award supports .20 FTE Executive Director/Sexual Assault Advocate who will provide in-person crisis response, assistance for staff responding to an SA crisis response in person, crisis response on telephone, assistance for staff responding to an SA crisis response on telephone, assistance to SA survivors in court. Purpose Area 5 Award \$19,163

2025 - 2026 VAWA Non-Competitive Grant Program: Award Period: July 1, 2025-June 30, 2026

Award Period for Tribal Programs: January 1, 2026 – December 31, 2026

County/Counties	Agency	Contact Person	Purpose
Deschutes, Crook & Jefferson	Saving Grace Imagine Life Without Violence	Abby Rowland Interim Executive Director Bend, OR 97701 Website: www.saving-grace.org	A .25 FTE Legal Services Manager and .52 FTE Post Separation Legal Advocate provides civil advocacy, crisis intervention, hotline support, survivor advocacy and assistance with protection orders. Grant funds also support a 15% de minimus costs rate. A significant portion of Saving Grace's service area is rural. Purpose Area 5 Award \$52,554
Washington & Multnomah	Sexual Assault Resource Center	Brandy Selover Executive Director Beaverton, OR 97005 website: www.sarcoregon.org	This award supports a .02 FTE 24-Hour Services Program Manager to assist with advocacy services and .32 FTE Advocate to provide direct victim services. The VAWA grant is 100% focused on sexual assault. Purpose Area 5 Award \$24,709
Lane	Sexual Assault Support Services (SASS)	Martina Shabram Executive Director Eugene, OR 97401 website: www.sass-lane.org	This award supports .5 FTE crisis line advocates to provide 24/7/365 emotional support, safety planning, and systems navigation to callers on the Crisis and Support Line, provide 24/7/365 emergency medical advocacy to survivors in hospitals in the acute aftermath of sexual violence, including advocacy during SAFE exams and law enforcement reporting, provide referrals, as needed, to other SASS programs and other appropriate community agencies, provide information and resources regarding sexual assault, trauma, systems navigation, and SASS programs, de-escalate and assist callers in crisis. This VAWA grant is 100% focused on sexual assault. Purpose Area 5 Award \$25,564

Appendix H

FY 2026 VAWA CONTINUATION GRANT PROGRAM SUMMARY

AWARD PERIOD: JANUARY 1, 2026 – DECEMBER 31, 2026

LAW ENFORCEMENT ALLOCATION CATEGORY

County	Agency	Contact	Purpose
Marion & Polk Counties	Salem Police Department	Emily Collins, Domestic Violence Response Team Coordinator Salem, OR 97301 Website: www.cityofsalem.net	Funds will be used by Salem Police Department's Domestic Violence Response Team (DVRT) to assist victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, and stalking in the City of Salem at the time of police contact and offender arrest. The goal is to provide focused community response, resources, and intervention to heighten victim safety and offender accountability. DVRT offers 24-hour on-scene response to victims by primary or back-up advocates who provide victims with information on victim's rights, offender arrest/release procedures, the Criminal Justice System, crisis intervention, safety planning, problem solving, offer emotional support and provide a link to local resources. The goal in 2026 is to reach 475 victims on-scene, or by phone when scene circumstances are such that it would be a risk to advocate safety. It is anticipated that an additional 55 victims will be served in an outreach manner, including an additional 25 immigrant victims for a total of 555 victims served. The outcome is to provide 100% of victims served with at least one strategy to enhance safety planning, to have a prosecution rate of 75% or higher, and to make successful follow-up contact in at least 50% of cases. DVRT has an MOU with advocates from the Marion and Polk County District Attorney's Victim Assistance Division and with the Center for Hope and Safety in Marion County. Funds support a .68 FTE Domestic Violence Response Team Coordinator, training and emergency services. A total match amount of \$43,664 includes a .32 FTE DVRT Coordinator and 120 volunteer hours. Purpose Area(s): 5 & 10. \$130,993
Washington County	City of Hillsboro	Jincy Pace, Domestic Violence Program & Support Commander Hillsboro, OR 97123 Website: www.hillsboro-oregon.gov/police	HPD proposes to enhance, strengthen, and retain its current services to victims of domestic violence and sexual assault. This continued support will fund one fulltime FTE Domestic Violence Program Assistants on the Domestic Violence Response Team (DVRT). The 'Jessica Gonzales Victim Assistant' will continue supporting and retaining core services of the DVRT. HPD has developed MOUs with the Domestic Violence Resource Center, the Sexual Assault Resource Center, the Oregon Law Center and the Family Justice Center. Funding would allow for the personal and professional development of staff to increase the rate that our DVRT is called out by officers and to increase the rate in which DVRT is able to respond on scene to assist victims. HPD has recently re-established the DART (Domestic Abuse Response Team). This team consists of DVRT staff members, Family Violence detectives, A patrol Sergeant, the Senior Deputy District Attorney and select patrol officer representative(s). DART members provide HPD with subject matter expertise, specialized response and training and consistent communication with the community related to domestic violence. HPD has also created a Countywide Strangulation MDT, with the goal of creating a uniformed Domestic Violence Strangulation supplemental for law enforcement and protocol for all systems in Washington County in response to Strangulation. Through partnerships in the community, because of continued grant funding. A total of 23 law enforcement officers and detectives will receive training to improve effective response through the criminal process. A total of \$44,461.62 match will also be utilized which includes 1,278 volunteer hours for on call response with law enforcement officers to DV/SA investigations 24 hours a day. A portion of the VAWA award is dedicated to SA with \$13,334 sexual assault set aside. Purpose areas: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 11, and 12 \$133,333

PROSECUTION ALLOCATION CATEGORY

County	Agency	Contact	Purpose
Jefferson	Jefferson County District Attorney's Office	Corina Ball, Victim Assistance Program Director Madras, OR 97741 Website: www.jeffco.net	Funds will be used to support a specialized prosecution unit that will handle cases of domestic violence, sexual assault, dating violence and stalking in order to increase the safety of victims and hold offenders accountable for their crimes. A .17 FTE Bilingual Victim Assistant, a .60 FTE prosecutor, and a 0.04 FTE Grant Coordinator to coordinate the Strangulation Kit Training with the contracted Rapid SAVE Investigation SANE to provide the training to criminal justice personnel. Funds will also support the Bilingual Victim Assistant to provide services to victims, coordinate cases with the Prosecutor, and directly refer victims to the Bilingual Saving Grace Advocate; and the after-hours emergency victim assistant response program will provide safety planning and emergency services. Approximately 105 underserved victims of domestic violence/dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking in Jefferson County. Program partnerships include the District Attorney's Office, Victim Assistance, Sheriff's Office, Madras Police Department, Saving Grace, Adult Community Corrections, Victims of Crime Services, and Rapid SAVE Investigation. This project will match \$67,846 which includes cash match for a .40 FTE DV/SA Prosecutor. Grant funds will also support training, office supplies and 10% de minimus costs. A portion of the VAWA award is dedicated to SA with \$7,255 sexual assault set aside. Purpose Area(s): 1, 2, 5, 10, & 11. \$132,520.67
Lane	Lane County District Attorney's Office	Denise Walters, Lane Council of Governments Eugene, OR 97401 Website: https://www.lanecounty.org/government/county_departments/district_attorneys_office	The DA Domestic Violence (DV) and School Sexual Assault (SA) Prosecution Project's purpose is to increase safety of and advocacy for 308 victims of domestic violence and sexual assault in rural Lane County. Of these, 170 are anticipated to be urban area and 138 rural area, 25 Latino/a/x and 10 UO Students. The project will also increase successful prosecution of DV and SA and strengthen the criminal justice system's response to violence against women in the county. VAWA supports a .54 FTE DV Investigator and a .1 FTE SA Prosecutor with a .25 FTE DV Assistant DA as match. The DV Investigator serves victims throughout Lane County (with focus on rural Lane County and Latinx victims) by conducting investigations to aid Prosecution and stop defendants from contacting victims with No Contact Orders. The Campus SA Team serves university students including teens and international students. The SA DDA with the Campus Team advises student victims on how the investigation process proceeds and their options, and works with EPD and UOPD, UO Office of Affirmative Action and Equal Opportunity on case coordination investigations. The DV Investigator and SA DDA participate in the DA DV and SA Training Team to train law enforcement and other partners to identify and respond to DV and SA more effectively. Teams will also work to understand stalking issues and develop a response to address the gaps in knowledge and service to people experiencing stalking. This project will match \$44,430. A portion of the VAWA award is dedicated to SA with \$32,496 sexual assault set aside. Purpose Area(s): 1, 2, 3, & 16. \$133,323

PROSECUTION ALLOCATION CATEGORY

County	Agency	Contact	Purpose
Marion	Marion County District Attorney's Office	Pami Guerra, Victim Assistance Manager Salem, OR 97309 Website: http://www.co.marion.or.us/DA/victimassistance/Pages/default.aspx	This project will fund two positions and would be a continuation from the previous grant award. A grant funded .75 FTE Family Violence Program (FVP)- Direct Services Coordinator and match supported 1.0 FTE FVP- Underserved Communities Outreach Coordinator. Both positions will participate in local MDTs, work groups and committees whose shared focus is on improving services to victims of domestic violence. Funds will also serve to strengthen and increase victims' advocacy and safety in collaboration with VAD programs, increase the number of trained and qualified DV advocates for victims of domestic violence and stalking through the criminal justice system, and expand and strengthen our community outreach to victims of underserved, marginalized and oppressed communities. The program will provide comprehensive services to victims of Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault, Stalking and Strangulation, focus on community outreach to victims of domestic violence with an emphasis on the underserved, marginalized and oppressed communities, cultivate strong working relationships with our partner agencies through collaboration. Collaboration partners include the Center for Hope and Safety, Salem Police Department DV Response Team, and Parole and Probation victim services. The program also intends to train new advocates to serve victims individually and learn their needs and how they'd like to receive services by emphasizing the importance of identifying barriers. In this project period we expect to service more than 335 victims with felony domestic violence cases along with services on 500 misdemeanor cases. There is \$36,169 in matching funds which includes a .25 FTE cash match. Purpose Area(s): 5 Award \$117,923
Multnomah	Multnomah County District Attorney's Office	Emily Hyde, Victim Assistance Program Supervisor Portland, OR 97204 www.mcda.us	This project will fund a 1 FTE experienced Deputy District Attorney within MCDA's Domestic Violence Unit (.50 grant and .50 match funded) dedicated to increasing prosecution of domestic violence crimes against victims from underserved communities and Tribal Nations (TN). The VAWA DDA will assist victims through referrals, case consultation, investigative assistance, case review, and prosecution of specialized cases. The VAWA DDA will have direct contact with traditional underserved communities and TN victims, coordinate services with law enforcement, advocates and project partners to ensure long-term victim safety. The position will expand awareness of the services provided at MCDA. This project connects enhanced domestic violence prosecution services with system and community partners that serve the diverse populations targeted by this project. It ensures cross training, information sharing, and support services that meet the unique needs of these survivors. The MOU participants are the Portland Police Detective Division Special Victims Unit (SVU), Bradley Angle (BA), Disability Awareness Resource Team (DART), The Gateway Center for Domestic Violence (GC), and the Immigrant and Refugee Community Organization (IRCO). A total of \$28,220 indirect costs and \$44,441 in match funds. Purpose Area (s): 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 14, and 19. Award \$133,323

PROSECUTION AND LAW ENFORCEMENT ALLOCATION CATEGORY			
County	Agency	Contact	Purpose
Statewide	Attorney General's Sexual Assault Task Force	Shannon Rose Executive Director Keizer, OR 97303 Website: http://oregonsatf.org/	The SATF's Law Enforcement (LE) & Prosecutor (pros) Training Project will improve the criminal justice response to sexual assault victims by strengthening the ability of local LE and prosecutors to keep sexual assault victims safe and hold offenders accountable, benefiting the broader community. The project will prioritize coastal, rural/frontier communities and hold training in geographically strategic areas and provide travel scholarships to professionals from coastal, rural/frontier areas to facilitate broader participation. Five trainings during the one-year award will include 100 law enforcement and 63 prosecutors. Project goals are: Oregon's capacity to effectively implement a coordinated community response will improve the criminal justice system's ability to appropriately respond to victims of sexual assault as SATI provides training and TA to LE and pros in Oregon; and Oregon's capacity to effectively implement a coordinated community response will improve the criminal justice system's ability to appropriately respond to victims of sexual assault as SATI provides training and TA to SARTs in Oregon. Major activities include providing sexual assault specific training for LE and prosecution; the provision of sexual assault specific training for SARTs; the provision of TA and support, upon request, to local SARTs; the provision of TA and support to individual SART members, upon request. Funds will support a .15 FTE Advocacy Coordinator, .05 Communications and Outreach Director, .66 Sexual Assault Training Institute Coordinator, and a .05 FTE Executive Director. This VAWA Grant is 100% focused on sexual assault. Purpose Area(s): 1, 2, 7, 15 & 16 Award \$ 103,476
Statewide	Oregon Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Division	Sarah Sabri Domestic Violence Resource Prosecutor (DVRP) Salem, OR 97301	This project supports a 1.0 FTE DV Resource Prosecutor and four trainings free of cost to participants for state, county and tribal prosecutors, law enforcement officers, and criminal justice partners. There are two prosecution specific trainings DV Academy (3.5 days for 35 prosecutors and optional LE). A separate training, DV Day is a one-day training for 60 prosecutors that occurs in - conjunction with the Oregon District Attorneys' Association Summer Conference. These trainings specifically focus on prosecutors who handle primarily DV, sexual assault, dating violence, and stalking cases. One Forensic Experiential Trauma Interview (FETI) trainings for 30 law enforcement officers (and may include up to 23 other criminal justice partners with discretionary funding. A two-day Expert Witness training for 15 – 20 prosecutors, advocates, forensic nurses and other system partners to strengthen the use of expert witness testimony in domestic violence and sexual assault prosecutions. This grant would also support the facilitation of the statewide Domestic Violence Fatality Review Team to allow team members to participate in DV fatality reviews twice a calendar year, in order to provide recommendations to the community and state to help reduce DV fatalities. A total of \$55,665 in matching funds includes a .25 FTE for the Domestic Violence Resource Prosecutor (DVRP) position. A portion of this grant is dedicated to SA with a total of \$113,556 sexual assault set aside. Purpose Area (s): 1, 2, and 7. Award \$166,995

COURTS ALLOCATION CATEGORY (Non-Competitive)			
County	Agency	Contact	Purpose
Statewide	Oregon Judicial Department	Nanci Thaemert, JCPD Salem, OR 97301 Website: http://courts.oregon.gov/ojd/pages/index.aspx	OJD will use court VAWA funds to continue to fund the .47 FTE VAWA staff counsel position with another .23 FTE supported by match funds. VAWA staff counsel will remain the central point of contact within OJD for trial court judges and staff and other state agencies, and community stakeholders. The primary focus of this project will be judicial training as outlined with SB 710 which requires continuing education requirements for judges on domestic and sexual violence, stalking and dating violence. An Advisory Committee to the Chief Justice, training curriculum and sessions at judicial conferences will include New Judge School, Oregon Circuit Court Judge Conference, Oregon Judicial Conference and others. VAWA staff counsel will remain OJD's liaison on multidisciplinary committees related to VAWA statutory purpose areas and will maintain and develop forms and instructions for use by victims in obtaining protective orders. VAWA staff counsel will collaborate with other stakeholders to develop legal memoranda, bench guides, protocols, trainings and educational materials for judges and court staff. The OJD will use VAWA grant funds for regional trainings for judges and court staff and to send judges to educational programs sponsored by the National Judicial Institute on Domestic Violence, the National Council of Juvenile & Family Court Judges, and the U.S. Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women. Funds will also be used to update translations of forms for protective orders for non-English speaking populations, primarily in Spanish, Russian, Vietnamese, and Korean. Staff counsel will continue to work with local courts to implement firearm task force and surrender protocols for domestic violence offenders. A portion of this grant is dedicated to SA with a total of \$25,602.32 sexual assault set aside. Purpose Area(s): 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10, 14 Award \$103,211

Domestic Violence in Oregon:

Understanding the Risks and Risk Factors


The following report provides key insights from the literature on domestic violence (DV), including the intersections of DV and firearm access, mass violence, and suicide risk. National research is complemented by Oregon-specific data.

Definitions


- **Domestic violence (DV) and intimate partner violence (IPV)** both involve “a pattern of behavior in any relationship that is used to gain or maintain power and control,” including physical, sexual, emotional, economic, or psychological actions or threats.¹
- **Victims of DV** may include family or household members, including children, parents, and current or former intimate partners.
- **Victims of IPV** may include current or former spouses and dating partners, regardless of whether individuals live together.

From 2020-2024, there were over 50,000 DV-related crimes reported in Oregon, primarily impacting 25-to-34-year olds²


Number of reported victims of DV-related crimes by age in Oregon, 2020-2024



In Oregon, lifetime experiences of IPV were reported by:



39%
of
men³



42%
of
women³

Oregon-Specific Data Sources

- **The Oregon Uniform Crime Reporting (OUCR) System** is a state-wide data system to which all law enforcement agencies in Oregon are required by law to report crime statistics. This fact sheet contains OUCR data on DV-related offenses from 2020-2024.²
- **The 2016/2017 National Intimate Partner and Sexual Violence Survey** is an ongoing national survey conducted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. IPV estimates reported here represent the lifetime prevalence of contact sexual violence, physical violence, and/or stalking victimization by an intimate partner.³

1. United Nations. (n.d.). What is domestic abuse? <https://www.un.org/en/coronavirus/what-is-domestic-abuse>.

2. Oregon State Police. (n.d.). Oregon Uniform Crime Reporting Data. Accessed June 10, 2025, at <https://www.oregon.gov/osp/Pages/Uniform-Crime-Reporting-Data.aspx>.

3. Smith et al. (2023). The national intimate partner and sexual violence survey: 2016/2017 state report. Atlanta (GA): CDC, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control.

Between 2013 and 2022, 386 Oregonians died due to DV-related homicide¹



Those who died were primarily **women** (56%), **white** (77%), and **ages 25-64** (64%).



12% of those who died were **under 18**; of these minors, **71% were killed by a parent**




39% of those who died were killed by their **spouse or intimate partner**



52% of these DV-related homicides involved a **firearm**, primarily **handguns**




83% of these DV-related homicides occurred in a **house or apartment**




54% of these DV-related homicides occurred in **5 of Oregon's 36 counties***

* Multnomah, Lane, Washington, Jackson, and Marion

69% of all homicides among women were DV-related, compared to only 20% of homicides among men¹



■ DV-Related Homicides
■ Non-DV-Related Homicides



Oregon-Specific Data Sources (Continued)

- **The Oregon Violent Death Reporting System (ORVDRS)** captures details on all violent deaths in Oregon and is the source of information for DV-related deaths between 2013-2022 in this report.¹
- **Oregon's Extreme Risk Protection Order (ERPO) law**, which took effect on January 1, 2018, allows family/household members and law enforcement officers to petition a civil court for an order to temporarily restrict one's access to firearms or other deadly weapons if the court determines that individual is at imminent risk of harming themselves or others.² This report includes data and narrative examples from the 359 ERPO petitions filed from January 2018 to June 2024 that mentioned DV risk.³

1. Oregon Health Authority. (n.d.). Oregon Violent Death Reporting System. Data for years 2013-2022.

2. ORS §§166.525 to 166.543. Extreme Risk Protection Orders. Available at: https://oregon.public.law/statutes/ors_166.525.


3. Our team has analyzed Oregon's ERPO court records obtained from the Oregon Judicial Department.

Domestic Violence and Firearm Access

Firearms increase the risk of fatal DV outcomes and can be used by perpetrators of DV to threaten and exert control over their victims

- **10% of U.S. adults** have experienced **nonfatal IPV involving a firearm** (e.g., intimate partner displaying a firearm or threatening to shoot them) in their lifetime.¹
- **49% of U.S. adults** who reported past experiences with nonfatal firearm IPV reported **having a child at home at the time of the abuse**.¹
- The presence of a firearm in intimate partner violence situations is associated with **5 times greater risk of intimate partner homicide**.²
- **52%** of all DV-related homicides in Oregon from 2013-2022 **involved a firearm**, primarily handguns.³

DV-related homicide incidents involved multiple deaths (often including the death of the DV perpetrator) more frequently when firearms were involved³



Restricting a DV perpetrator's access to firearms may reduce rates of intimate partner homicide

- Prohibiting individuals subject to **DV restraining orders** from possessing firearms is associated with **significant reductions in intimate partner homicide rates**.⁴
- **ERPO laws**, which prohibit firearm possession and purchasing if a court determines an individual is at-risk of harming themselves or others, may also be used to address firearm access in DV situations.
- Where such laws exist, gaps remain in the **implementation and enforcement** of these firearm prohibitions.⁴
- Between January 2018 and June 2024, **359 ERPO petitions citing risks or histories of DV** were filed in Oregon, **67% of which were granted**.⁵

1. Adhia et al. (2021). Nonfatal use of firearms in intimate partner violence: Results of a national survey. *Prev Med*, 147.

2. Campbell et al. (2003). Risk factors for femicide in abusive relationships: Results from a multisite case control study. *Am J Pub H*, 93(7): 1089-97.

3. Oregon Health Authority. (n.d.). Oregon Violent Death Reporting System. Data for years 2013-2022.

4. Zeoli et al. (2016). Risks and targeted interventions: Firearms in intimate partner violence. *Epidemiologic Reviews*, 38(1): 125-39.

5. ORS §§166.525 to 166.543. Extreme Risk Protection Orders. Our team has analyzed Oregon's ERPO court records obtained from the Oregon Judicial Department.

Domestic Violence and Mass Violence

Many mass violence incidents are either directly related to DV or perpetrated by individuals with histories of DV

- **59% of mass shootings** that occurred in the U.S. between 2014-2019 involved the **death of the perpetrator's intimate partner or family member**.¹
- **35% of perpetrators of public mass shootings** (i.e., those that occurred in public locations) in the U.S. from 1996-2024 **had a history of DV**.²

Of the 106 ERPOs filed in Oregon from January 2018 to June 2024 that cited risks of mass violence, 30% also cited histories or risk of DV³

In one example scenario: The respondent was under investigation for **allegations of DV**. They had **supervised visits with their children** at the Department of Human Services (DHS) and **expressed grievances with DHS staff**. The respondent **threatened to place explosive devices at or bring a firearm to the DHS facility** and **was observed conducting surveillance** outside of the facility. The petitioner was a law enforcement officer. The ERPO was granted.

Domestic Violence and Suicide Risk

Both victims and perpetrators of DV face increased risk of suicide⁴

- **23% of women** who sought help or services for IPV **reported previous suicidal ideation or acts**.⁵
- **20% of DV-related homicide incidents** in Oregon from 2013-2022 ended with the **DV perpetrator dying by suicide**.⁶

Of the 359 DV-related ERPOs filed in Oregon from January 2018 to June 2024, 66% also cited histories or risk of suicide or self-harm³

In one example scenario: The respondent **threatened to kill their spouse, their children, and themselves, all while holding a handgun**. Police responded to the domestic dispute and the respondent confirmed that they had **multiple firearms in their possession** and **expressed not having much to live for since they were getting a divorce**. When told that they were being arrested, the respondent repeatedly tried to go back into their house, which law enforcement officers worried was an attempt to get guns from inside. Officers later learned that **the respondent had placed a firearm just next to the front door when the police arrived**. The respondent was arrested. The petitioner was a law enforcement officer. The ERPO was granted.

1. Geller et al. (2021). The role of domestic violence in fatal mass shootings in the United States, 2014–2019. *Inj Epidemiol*, 8(1):38.
2. The Violence Project. (2024). U.S. Mass Shootings and Shooters. <https://www.theviolenceproject.org/mass-shooter-database/>.
3. ORS §§166.525 to 166.543. Extreme Risk Protection Orders. Our team has analyzed Oregon's ERPO court records obtained from the Oregon Judicial Department.
4. Kafka et al. (2022). Intimate partner violence victimization and perpetration as precursors to suicide. *SSM Popuk Health*, 18.
5. Cavanaugh et al. (2011). Prevalence and correlates of suicidal behavior among adult female victims of IPV. *Suicide Life Threat Behav*, 41(4): 372-83.
6. Oregon Health Authority. (n.d.). Oregon Violent Death Reporting System. Data for years 2013-2022.

FY 2026-2029 VAWA Implementation Planning SUMMARY OF ISSUES RAISED

Date	Partner	Primary Needs/Issues Discussed	How were these addressed in the Implementation Plan?	If not addressed in the plan, why not?
4/21/2026	Melanie Prummer, Peace at Home Advocacy Center ED	Revise title of organization to full name: Peace at Home Advocacy Center	Made revision to Appendix A (word Version) and made change to Appendix G 2025 2026 VAWA Noncompetitive Award Summary	
4/22/2026	Tawna Sanchez, NAYA	Add explanation on inclusion of demographic chart for LGBT and Transgender Population on page 9. Explanation should include the federal requirement and OVW approval on how demographics are gathered.	Added footnote and reference.	
4/22/2026	Tawna Sanchez, NAYA and Tribal Council for a federally recognized tribe	Discussion on whether to add Fort McDermitt Paiute Indians into narrative since identified on the map. Verified under Bureau of Indian Affairs list of tribes and noted it cited both Nevada and Oregon. Not included in list of Oregon Tribal Nations therefore updated map.	Limited the tribes referenced in the Oregon IP to those listed under state OAR 581-017-0365 and federal law. New map included in the plan on page 11 from Oregon.gov.	
4/23/2026	Diana Fleming	Appendix B – 2 and updated list	Removed TN from first tab, retained on same word document as Sovereign Nations rather than communities. Spelled out acronyms	
5/1/2026	Shannon Rose	Adding information to Section V.B. Sexual Assault Set Aside with the Oregon SATF award 100% SA set aside. Added more information under Goal 3 (statewide training) under How STOP Funding will be used to meet the State's Goals.	Added narrative to goal 3 section as well as SA Set Aside section per email from Shannon Rose.	
	Amy Benedum	Addition to goal 5 includes timeline for firearm dispossession workgroup plan	Included timeline in IP on page 32 – 33 under Section V.A. Goals and	

FY 2026-2029 VAWA Implementation Planning SUMMARY OF ISSUES RAISED

		with analysis and deliverables.	Objectives.	
6/3/2026	Administration change from noncompetitive to competitive RFA process.	Section V. D. Grant Making Strategy narrative change on the described solicitation process from noncompetitive to competitive for law enforcement and prosecution allocation categories. Statewide training grants along with courts allocation will remain noncompetitive to benefit the statewide need for training for law enforcement, prosecution and courts. CVSSD anticipates releasing a second competitive RFA to address statewide or regional law enforcement and prosecution training projects (12 – 15 months) and multi-jurisdictional/disciplinary projects that improve collaboration between partners.	Revised Section begins on page 38 - 43	
6/5/2026	Debra Dority	Add definitions and/or clarity between SFLAC and OJD and the Firearm Dispossession Workgroup under Section V. Goal 5	Added definition on footnote 10, page 32 and additional narrative pages 31 – 33.	
6/17/2026	Keri Moran-Kuhn	Received an email that included a request to make some revisions/additions.	The SATF has been moved in the chart from the category “State sexual assault coalition” on page 16 to “Other individuals or entities.” Section III.C. already identifies the Oregon SATF as a non-profit, statewide sexual assault training institute. Added to IP, two sentences on RPE	

FY 2026-2029 VAWA Implementation Planning SUMMARY OF ISSUES RAISED

			coalition funds from CDC for a 4-year project.	
			Added brief phrase to chart on Section III.B. for planning subcommittee participation next to dual coalition with its Communities of Color Task Force resuming in October 2026. Also included a footnote.	